HC-KAR

NC: 2026:KHC:61215
WP No. 11520 of 2023

CNR: KAHC010270672023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 4TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI M

WRIT PETITION NO.11520 OF 2023 (CS-RES)

BETWEEN:

SRI. ASHOK KULAL M.K.
AGED ABOUT 60 YEARS,
S/O LATE KANTHAPPA KULAL,
RESIDING AT MANASA KRUPA,
NEAR GOVERNMENT,
JUNIOR COLLEGE,
GANDHI NAGAR, KAVOOR,
MANGALORE - 574 015.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. ARAVIND REDDY H., ADVOCATE)

AND:

Digitally signed by PREMCHANDRA M R
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
   DEPARTMENT OF CO-OPERATION,
   M.S. BUILDING,
   AMBEDKAR VEEDHI,
   BENGALURU - 560 001.
   REPRESENTED BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY.

2. REGISTRAR OF INDUSTRIAL CO-OPERATIVES,
   DEPARTMENT OF CO-OPERATION,
   (INDUSTRIES AND DAIRY)
   GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA,
   ALI ASKAR ROAD,
   BENGALURU- 560 001.

3. DEPUTY REGISTRAR OF CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES,
DEPARTMENT OF CO-OPERATION,
GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA,
JANATHA BAZAR BUILDING,
HAMPANKATTA,
MANGALORE - 574 001.

4. KUMBARA GUDI
INDUSTRIAL CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETY,
CHAKRASOUDHA, KULAI,
MANGALORE - 575 019,
REPRESENTED BY CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER,
MR. VINAYA KUMAR.

(AMENDED CAUSE TITLE AS PER
COURT ORDER DATED 06.03.2024)

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. YOGESH D. NAIK, AGA FOR R1 TO R3;
SRI. PRASANNA V.R., ADVOCATE FOR R4)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, SEEKING CERTAIN RELIEFS.

THIS WRIT PETITION IS LISTED FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, AN ORDER IS MADE AS UNDER:

ORAL ORDER

Sri.Aravind Reddy.H., counsel for the petitioner, Sri.Yogesh D.Naik, Additional Government Advocate for respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Sri.Prasanna.V.R., counsel for respondent No.4 appeared in person.

2. This petition is filed seeking following reliefs:

(i) Issue a writ or order in the nature of mandamus and direct the respondents to consider the representations of the petitioner vide Annexure-F dated 10.01.2020 and Annexures-G and H dated 14.02.2020.

(ii) Pass such other or further orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit to pass in the circumstances of the case and in the interest of justice and equity.

3. Counsel for the respective parties urged several contentions.

4. Heard the arguments and perused the papers with care.

5. Upon perusal of the representation, it is evident that the petitioner seeks a direction to the respondent authorities to consider the representation and initiate appropriate action against the alleged culprits. However, the representation is vague and bereft of specific

particulars or material details that would warrant consideration and further action by the authorities. In the absence of such particulars, the relief sought by the petitioner cannot be granted. Accordingly, the writ petition stands ***dismissed***.

Because of dismissal of the Writ Petition, interim order granted if any stands discharged and pending interlocutory applications if any are disposed of.

Sd/-
(JYOTI M)
JUDGE

SS
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 28