IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 21ST DAY OF APRIL, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S SUNIL DUTT YADAV

WRIT PETITION NO. 11609 OF 2026 (LA-KIADB)

BETWEEN:

1. SRI GIRIGOWDA
   S/O LATE SALAGIRIGOWDA
   AGED ABOUT 58 YEARS,
   RESIDING AT CHEELUR VILLAGE,
   MARALWADI HOBLI,
   HAROHALLI TALUK
   BANGALORE SOUTH DISTRICT
   PIN CODE - 562 121

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. SURESH K., ADVOCATE)

AND:

Digitally signed by VIJAYA P
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
   DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES
   VIKASA SOUDHA
   BENGALURU - 560 001
   BY ITS SECRETARY

2. THE KARNATAKA INDUSTRIAL ARAEA
   DEVELOPMENT BOARD
   EAST WING, KHANIJA BHAVAN
   BANGALORE - 560 001
   REPRESENTED BY ITS
   CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER AND
   EXECUTIVE MEMBER

3. THE SPECIAL LAND ACQUISITION OFFICER-1
THE KARNATAKA INDUSTRIAL ARAEA
DEVELOPMENT BOARD
NO.14/1 ARVINDA BHAVAN 1ST FLOOR
NRUPATUNGA ROAD
BENGALURU - 560 001

… RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. HARISH A.S., AGA FOR R1;
SRI H.L. PRADEEP KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R2 AND R3)

THIS W.P. IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO QUASHING THE GENERAL AWARD BEARING NO.KIADB:LAQ:722:2019-20 DATED 11/10/2019 VIDE ANNEXURE-A PASSED BY THE THIRD RESPONDENT AND ETC.

THIS PETITION COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:
CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S SUNIL DUTT YADAV

ORAL ORDER

Learned Additional Government Advocate accepts notice for respondent No.1.

Sri. H. L. Pradeep Kumar, learned counsel accepts notice for respondents 2 and 3.

2. Petitioner has sought for issuance of writ of certiorari to set aside the general award at Annexure-A dated 11.10.2019 passed by respondent No.3. Petitioner

has also sought for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus to direct the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner in terms of Section 29(2) of the Karnataka Industrial Areas Development Act, 1966 (for short 'KIAD Act') and to pass consent award insofar as it relates to the land of the petitioner to the extent of 20 guntas in Sy. No. 107/11, Cheeluru Village, Maralawadi Hobli, Harohalli Taluk, Ramanagara District (Bengaluru South District).

3. The case of the petitioner is that he was willing for a consent award and accordingly, the question of passing of general award does not arise.

4. Learned counsel for the respondent - Karnataka Industrial Area Development Board (KIADB) submits that the petition itself could be disposed of while setting aside the general award insofar as the petitioner is concerned and the respondent - Special Land Acquisition Officer,

KIADB would consider the request of the petitioner and pass a consent award as per law.

5. In light of the said stand and taking note of the directions passed in W.P.No.15026/2021, the petition is disposed of as follows:

(i) The impugned general award at Annexure-A insofar as the petitioner's land is concerned, is set aside.

(ii) Respondent No.3 is directed to consider the claim of the petitioner for passing of consent award and pass a consent award in terms of Section 29(2) of the Karnataka Industrial Areas Development Act, 1966, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(iii) It is made clear that, in the event if there is any dispute with regard to entitlement and apportionment of the award amount, the general award at Annexure-A would stand revived.

(iv) Liberty is reserved to the Special Land Acquisition Officer, KIADB to withdraw the amount, if

deposited before the Civil Court pursuant to the general award.

In terms of the above, the petition is ***disposed of***.

Sd/-
(S SUNIL DUTT YADAV)
JUDGE

VP