HC-KAR

NC: 2026:KHC:60052
WP No. 11496 of 2026

CNR: KAHC010251272026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 28TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

WRIT PETITION NO. 11496 OF 2026 (KLR-RES)

BETWEEN:

MR. MANJUNATH DASAPPA,
SON OF MR. DASAPPA,
AGED 57 YEARS,
RESIDING AT NO.354-365,
9TH MAIN, DOLLARS COLONY,
J. P. NAGAR, 4TH PHASE,
BANGALORE - 560 078.

…PETITIONER

(BY SRI. SHYAM HARINDRA., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA,
   DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE,
   M. S. BUILDING,
   BANGALORE - 560 001.
   REPRESENTED BY PRINCIPAL SECRETARY.

2. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER,
   BANGALORE SOUTH SUB-DIVISION,
   BANGALORE - 560 009.

3. THE SPECIAL TAHSILDAR,
   BANGALORE SOUTH TALUK,
   BANGALORE - 560 009.

Digitally signed by CHAYA S A
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

4. THE TAHSILDAR,
BANGALORE SOUTH TALUK,
BANGALORE - 560 009.

5. THE REVENUE INSPECTOR,
KAGGALIPURA CIRCLE,
BANGALORE SOUTH,
BANGALORE - 560 009.

6. VILLAGE ACCOUNTANT,
KAGGALIPURA CIRCLE,
BANGALORE SOUTH,
BANGALORE - 560 009.

7. MR. NARAYANA SWAMY,
SON OF LATE MR. CHIKKA PAPANNA,
AGED 62 YEARS,
RESIDING AT 151, 17TH CROSS,
30TH MAIN, 6TH PHASE, J. P. NAGAR,
BANGALORE - 560 078.

8. MR. M. K. KEMPEGOWDA,
SON OF MR. M. G. KRISHANAPPA,
AGED 48 YEARS,
RESIDING AT GAVIPURA,
GUTTAHALLI,
BANGALORE - 560 019.

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI.SHAMANTH NAIK, AGA FOR R1 TO R6)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO QUASHING THE IMPUGNED ORDER DATED 27.11.2025 (ANNX-A) AND THE MUTATION ORDER PASSED BY THE TAHSILDAR DATED

06.05.2024 BEARING NUMBER M.R.H.17/2023 2024 (ANNEXURE - H) IN THE INTERESTS OF JUSTICE AND EQUITY AND ETC.,

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

ORAL ORDER

Learned Additional Government Advocate accepts notice for respondent Nos.1 to 6.

2. In this writ petition, the petitioner is assailing the order dated 27.11.2025 (Annexure-A) and the mutation order passed by respondent No.4 dated 06.05.2024 in M.R.H.No.17/2023-24 (Annexure-H).

3. The petitioner is claiming right over the property based on the Sale Deed dated 30.03.2016 registered on 20.03.2021 (Annexure-C to the writ petition).

3. Perusal of the impugned order would indicate that the mutation in M.R.H. No.17/2023-24 is effected by virtue of the registered Sale Deed dated 10.04.2024. In that view of the matter, as the suit is pending consideration in respect of the subject land in O.S.No.502/2016 and also, the petitioner as well as the private respondents herein are parties in the aforementioned suit, I am of view that no interference is called for, in this petition.

Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed.

However, it is open for the petitioner herein to approach the competent revenue authorities after the conclusion of the proceedings in O.S.No.502/2016.

SD/-
(E.S.INDIRESH)
JUDGE

PSJ
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 20