HC-KAR

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 6TH DAY OF APRIL, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE LALITHA KANNEGANTI

WRIT PETITION NO. 11162 OF 2022 (GM-RES)

BETWEEN:

MRS. AMREEN BEGUM
W/O. SHAHNAWAZ KHAN
AGED ABOUT 43 YEARS,
R/A T-107, 4TH FLOOR,
PROPERTY NO.9
PARK ROAD TASKER TOWN
BANGALORE-560 051

…PETITIONER

(BY SRI. HITESH GOWDA B.J., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
REP UNDER SECRETARY
PRIMARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION
M.S. BUILDING,
BANGALORE-560 001

2. THE SPECIAL OFFICER AND
COMPETENT AUTHORITY
FOR –I.M.A. GROUP OF COMPANIES/
REGIONAL COMMISSIONER (REV)
BENGALURU DIVISION,
BENGALURU
3RD FLOOR, PODIUM BLOCK,
VV TOWERS,
DR.AMBEDKAR VEEDHI,
BENGALURU-560 001
REP. SPECIAL OFFICER AND
COMPETENT AUTHORITY

Digitally signed by SUVARNA T
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

3. I MONETARY ADVISORY
A COMPANY REGISTERED
UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF
COMPANIES ACT
REPRESENTED BY ITS
MANAGING DIRECTOR
MOHAMED MANSOOR KHAN
NO.56/4,D, 1ST FLOOR, NANDI BUILDING
HOSPITAL ROAD
SHIVAJI NAGAR
BENGALURU-560 001

4. MOHAMMED MANSOOR KHAN
S/O ABID ALI KHAN
AGED ABOUT 42 YEARS,
R/AT NO.9, PENT HOUSE,
BYTHAL MARIYAM APARTMENT
5TH FLOOR, PARK ROAD
TASKER TOWN
BEGALURU-560 001

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI.MAHANTESH SHETTAR, ADVOCATE)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO QUASH THE NOTICE DATED: 28.03.2022 WITH NO. SPLOCA/IMA/SPLC(S-5) /39/2021 FOR BUILDING BEARING OLD NO.09 AND 9/1, PID NO.78-56-9 AND 78-56-9/1, PARK ROAD, TASKER TOWN, CIVIL STATION, WARD NO.63, BENGALURU TO THE EXTENT OF THE PETITIONERS ATTACHED PROPERTY VIDE ANNEXURE-A OF THE R-2.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE LALITHA KANNEGANTI

ORAL ORDER

The writ petition is of the year 2022. When the matter came up before this Court on 01.04.2026, as there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner, the matter was directed to be listed under the caption for dismissal on 02.04.2026. Even on 02.04.2026 also there was no representation and the matter was directed to be listed today under the same caption. Today also there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. It appears that the petitioner is not interested to pursue the matter.

Accordingly, the writ petition is ***dismissed for non-prosecution.***

SD/-
(LALITHA KANNEGANTI)
JUDGE

PKN
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 55