HC-KAR
NC: 2026:KHC:60521
WP No. 8879 of 2023
CNR: KAHC010201512023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 30TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.PRAMOD

WRIT PETITION NO. 8879 OF 2023 (GM-RES)

BETWEEN:

M/S BIO MEDIXX
A REGISTERED PARTNERSHIP FIRM
HAVING ITS REGISTERED OFFICE AT
NO 238, 2ND FLOOR, MARKET ROAD,
NEW NO 13,
KRISHNA RAO SINDYA ROAD,
V.V. PURAM,
BENGALURU 560004
REPRESENTED BY ITS
MANAGING PARTNER

…PETITIONER

(BY SRI. SAMBHAV.M., ADVOCATE FOR;
SRI. JAYANTH DEV KUMAR, ADVOCATE AND
SRI. ASHWINI RAJAGOPAL)

Digitally signed by SHARADAVANI B
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

AND:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
REPRESENTED BY ITS
SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT
BACKWARD CLASSES WELFARE DEPARTMENT,
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
ROOM NO 203, 2ND FLOOR,
VIKASA SOUDHA,
BENGALURU 560001

2. COMMISSIONER
BACKWARD CLASSES

WELFARE DEPARTMENT,
NO 16D, 3RD FLOOR,
DEVARAJ URS BHAVAN,
BENGALURU 560052

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. MAHANTESH SHETTAR, AGA)

THIS WP FILED UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO ISSUE A WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS TO THE RESPONDENT NO. 2 TO CONSIDER THE REPRESENTATION DATED 18.11.22 (ANNEXURE-G3) AND PAY THE AMOUNT DUE TO THE PETITIONER UNDER THE PURCHASE ORDER BEARING NO. HINVAKA - VNASHA-2-CR-7-2020-21 DATED 16.09.2020 (ANNEXURE-D) AS PER ANNEXURE-H AND INTEREST AT THE RATE OF 18% FROM THE DATE IT FELL DUE TILL THE DATE OF REALIZATION AND GRANT ALL CONSEQUENTIAL RELIEFS.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING IN B-GROUP, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.PRAMOD

ORAL ORDER

The subject matter of the present writ petition is substantially similar to that involved in W.P.No.8900/2023 (GM-RES), titled ***M/s. Sai Associates v. State of Karnataka and Another***, which was disposed of by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court by order dated 29.05.2023. Though learned AGA, appearing for respondent Nos.1 and 2, sought to distinguish the facts of the present case from

those of the aforesaid writ petition, this Court finds no material distinction between the two cases warranting a different view. In view of the order passed by the Co-ordinate Bench and there being no distinguishing features warranting a different conclusion, this Court is of the considered opinion that the petitioner herein is entitled to the benefit of the said order.

2. Consequently, the concerned respondent-authority is directed to consider and dispose of the representation submitted by the petitioner in accordance with law and in terms of the order dated 29.05.2023 passed in W.P.No.8900/2023 (GM-RES), within an outer limit of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

3. The concerned respondent-authority is at liberty to call upon the petitioner to furnish such information or documents as may be necessary for consideration of the representation. However, the said authority shall not,

under the guise of seeking such information or documents, protract the proceedings or delay disposal of the representation beyond the period stipulated by this Court.

4. With the aforesaid observations and directions, the writ petition stands disposed of.

No order as to costs.

Sd/-
(B.PRAMOD)
JUDGE

BSV
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 19