HC-KAR

NC: 2026:KHC:63857-DB
WP No. 9114 of 2022

CNR: KAHC010196842022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 17TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2026

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANU SIVARAMAN

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.S.BHARATH KUMAR

WRIT PETITION NO. 9114 OF 2022 (LB-RES-PIL)

BETWEEN:

SRI GURUNATH VADDE
S/O VAJINATH VADDE
AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS
OCCUPATION: SOCIAL WORKER
RESIDING AT JANASEVA ASHRAMA
BHAVANI
BIJAL GAUM
KAMALANAGARA TALUK
BIDAR DISTRICT - 585443

...PETITIONER

(BY SMT. SARASWATHI, ADV. (ABSENT))

Digitally signed by PAVITHRA N
Location: High Court of Karnataka

AND:

1. THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY
   RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND PANCHAYATH RAJ
   DEPARTMENT
   OFFICE OF SECRETARIATE
   VIKASA SOUDHA
   BENGALURU - 560001

2. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
   BIDAR DISTRICT

BIDAR - 585401

3. CHIEF EXEUCTIVE OFFICER
ZILLA PANCHAYAT
BIDAR - 585401

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. KHAMROZ KHAN, AGA FOR R1 & R2;
SRI. PRAVEEN KUMAR, ADV. FOR R3)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 & 227 OF THE INDIAN CONSTITUTION, PRAYING TO PETITIONER IS SEEKING ONLY AN INTERIM DIRECTION TO THE RESPONDENTS AUTHORITIES SHALL PROVIDED NECESSARY AND PROPERPURE DRINKING WATER UNITS TO THE PEOPLE OF BIDAR DISTRICT AND SURROUNDINGS WITHOUT ANY DELAY.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, JUDGMENT WAS DELIVERED THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANU SIVARAMAN
and
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.S.BHARATH KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

(PER: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANU SIVARAMAN)

When the matter is taken up today, there is no representation for the writ petitioner.

2. Learned Additional Government Advocate for the respondent- State submits that the identical writ petitions filed by the same petitioner have been dismissed on the ground that the petitions are lacking in material. In the instant case also, the petitioner seeks for consideration of the representations preferred by him. The representations would state that there were news reports published in Kannada newspapers on 13.12.2019 stating that the water units established for supply of drinking water have been destroyed. In the instant case also, the contentions raised by the petitioner are uncorroborated.

3. In the above view of the matter, we are of the opinion that further orders cannot be passed due to the absence of any reliable material on which the petitioner

has raised his claim. The writ petition accordingly fails and is ***dismissed*** without prejudice to the contentions of the petitioner and his right to approach the Authorities with necessary material, if so advised.

Sd/-
(ANU SIVARAMAN)
JUDGE

Sd/-
(K.S.BHARATH KUMAR)
JUDGE

PN
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 48