NC: 2026:KHC:14257
WP No. 8096 of 2026

HC-KAR

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU**

**DATED THIS THE 10TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026**

**BEFORE**

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.T. NARENDRA PRASAD**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 8096 OF 2026 (GM-CPC)**

**BETWEEN:**

SRI RAVI S
S/O SHIVARAMAIAH
AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS
R/AT BEHIND HOUSE OF
ANGADI NARASAIAH
KULKUNIKE, HUNSURU TOWN
MYSURU-571105.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. RUDRAPPA P., ADVOCATE)

**AND:**

1. SRI PUTTANARASAMMA
   S/O LATE JAVARAI
   AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS.

2. SRI J KUMARA
   S/O LATE JAVARAI
   AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS

3. SMT. LAKSHMI
   D/O LATE JAVARAI
   W/O LATE SHIVARAM
   AGED ABOUT 53 YEARS

   ALL ARE R/AT JAVAREGOWDA BHEEDHI
   KULKUNIKE, HUNSURU TOWN
   MYSURU-571105.

...RESPONDENTS

Digitally signed by DHANALAKSHMI MURTHY
Location: HIGH COURTOF KARNATAKA

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO ISSUE A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO QUASH THE IMPUGNED ORDER DATED

10/02/2026 IN O.S.NO. 167/2021 PASSED BY THE I ADDL. CIVIL JUDGE AND JMFC, HUNSUR ALLOWED THE I.A.NO.4 UNDER ORDER 6 RULE 17 OF THE CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE, ON PRODUCED AT ANNEXURE-F AND ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.T. NARENDRA PRASAD

**ORAL ORDER**

1. In this writ petition, the petitioner-defendant has called in question the order dated 10.02.2026 passed by the I Addl. Civil Judge & JMFC, Hunsur on I.A.No.IV filed under Order 6 Rule 17 of CPC, in O.S.No.167/2021, whereby the said application has been allowed.

2. For the sake of convenience, the parties are referred to as per their ranking before the Trial Court in Original Suit.

3. The plaintiffs filed a suit in O.S.No.167/2021 before the Trial Court seeking the relief of permanent injunction. Subsequently, the plaintiff filed I.A.No.II under Order VI Rule 17 of CPC seeking amendment of the plaint to include

the relief of declaration. The Trial Court, by order dated 13.10.2022, allowed the said application. Thereafter, the defendant entered appearance and filed written statement. The plaintiff filed one more application i.e., I.A.No.IV under Order VI Rule 17 of CPC seeking amendment to the boundaries of the suit schedule property. The said application came to be allowed by impugned order dated 10.02.2026. Aggrieved by the said impugned order dated 10.02.2026 passed by the Trial Court, the present petition is filed.

4. The application i.e., I.A.No.IV has been filed seeking amendment to the boundaries of the suit schedule property by inserting the word 'road' to the boundary on the east, by deleting the words 'lands of defendants'. The parties have not adduced any evidence. Before the matter was posted for evidence, the application has been filed. Therefore, the Trial Court has rightly allowed the said application.

5. Viewed from any angle, there is no error or illegality in the impugned order passed by the Trial Court warranting interference by this Court.

6. Accordingly, the writ petition is **dismissed**.

**Sd/-**
**(H.T. NARENDRA PRASAD)**
**JUDGE**

DM
LIST NO.: 1 SL NO.: 44