HC-KAR

NC: 2026:KHC:65194
CRL.P No. 2318 of 2024

CNR: KAHC010137502024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 23RD DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH RAI K

CRIMINAL PETITION NO. 2318 OF 2024

BETWEEN:

1. PRAJWAL M
   S/O MRUTHYUNJAYA B,
   AGED ABOUT 27 YEARS,

2. SMT. HAMSA G
   W/O MRUTHYUNJAYA B
   AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS,

3. MRUTHYUNJAYA B,
   S/O BYRAPPA
   AGED ABOUT 58 YEARS,

   ALL ARE RESIDING AT NO.43,
   "SRI RENUKA NILAYA",
   RAJARAJESHWARINAGAR,
   LAKSHMIPURA MAIN ROAD,
   MADANAYAKANAHALLI,
   BENGALURU-562 162

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. RAVI H.K, ADVOCATE)

AND:

Digitally signed by PANKAJA S
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

1. THE STATE BY
POLICE INSPECTOR,
BY HASSAN WOMEN P.S,
HASSAN SUB-DIVISION,
HASSAN-573 201
SPP HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA,
BENGALURU-01.

2. SAHANA G.K
W/O PRAJWAL M,
AGED ABOUT 24 YEARS,
RESIDING AT
GONISOMANAHALLI VILLAGE,
HALEBEEDU HOBLI, BELUR TALUK,
NEAR BUS STAND DASARA,
KOPPALLU, HASSAN 573 121

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. JAVEED S, HCGP FOR R1,

SRI. ANANDA V, ADVOCATE FOR R2)

THIS CRL.P IS FILED U/S 482 OF CR.PC PRAYING TO QUASH THE FIR BEARING CR.NO.0001/2024 REGISTERED BY THE RESPONDENT NO.1 POLICE ON FILE OF THE COURT OF THE 7TH ADDL. CIVIL JUDGE (JR.DN.) AND JMFC COURT, HASSAN DISTRICT AGAINST THE PETITIONERS FOR THE OFFENCE P/U/S 498A, 504, 114, 323, 307, 506, 34 OF IPC, 1860 AND SEC. 3 AND 4 OF D.P. ACT.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ADMISSION, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH RAI K

ORAL ORDER

During the course of the argument, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the present complaint was lodged by respondent No.2 as a counter blast to M.C.No.56/2023 instituted by petitioner No.1 against respondent No.2 for decree of divorce. He further submits that on perusal of the complaint averments, except some bald and omnibus allegations, no such specific allegations are forthcoming against these petitioners by mentioning the date, time and manner in which these petitioners harassed respondent No.2. He also contended that petitioner Nos.2 and 3 are nowhere connected for the alleged incident.

2. Learned HCGP contended that except registration of FIR and complaint, no other investigation has been taken place in view of the interim order passed by this Court by staying further investigation. In such circumstances, he seeks permission of this Court to continue the investigation.

3. Having heard the learned counsel for the respective parties, I am of the considered view that by reserving a liberty

to the petitioners to approach the Investigation Officer in this case by producing relevant documents which they relied in this petition as their defence, the petition be dismissed.

4. Accordingly, the petition is ***dismissed*** with liberty to the petitioners to produce all the documents which they relied in this petition as their defence before the Investigation officer within two weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order.

5. Upon such production of the documents, the investigation officer shall consider the same in accordance with law before filing the final report.

SD/-
(RAJESH RAI K)
JUDGE

CR/List No.: 1 Sl No.: 15