HC-KAR

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 30TH DAY OF APRIL, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIJAYKUMAR A. PATIL

WRIT PETITION NO.6430/2022 (GM-CPC)

BETWEEN:

B. RAMESH REDDY
S/O SRI. NARASIMHA REDDY
AGED ABOUT 60 YEARS
NO.9, 1ST MAIN, GANGANAGAR
BANGALORE 560 032.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. S.V. BHAT, ADV.,)

Digitally signed by RUPA V
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

AND:

1. SMT. YELLAMMA @ M. SHAMALA
   W/O SRI. KRISHNAPPA
   AGED ABOUT 60 YEARS
   R/AT CHUNCHUGATTA VILLAGE
   BANGALORE SOUTH TALUK
   BANGALORE 560 062.

2. SMT. VARALAKSHMI @ RAMANI
   W/O SRI. PACHAPPA
   AGED ABOUT 57 YEARS
   R/AT. DOOPANAHALLI
   2ND STAGE HAL, INDIRANAGAR
   BANGALORE 560 008.

3. SMT. KOMALA
   W/O SRI. SHIVKUMAR
   AGED ABOUT 54 YEARS.

4. SMT. PADMA
W/O SRI. ARUN KUMAR
AGED ABOUT 44 YEARS.

RESPONDENTS NO.3 AND 4
R/AT MALENAPETA
HOSAKOTE TOWN
BANGALORE RURAL DISTRICT 562 114.

5. SMT. RATHNAMMA
W/O SRI. KENCHAPPA
AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS.

6. SATISH
W/O SRI. KENCHAPPA
AGED ABOUT 34 YEARS.

7. MADHU
W/O SRI. KENCHAPPA
AGED ABOUT 33 YEARS.

RESPONDENTS NO.5 TO 7 ARE
R/AT NO.2, DOOPANAHALLI 2ND STAGE
HAL, INDIRANAGAR
BANGALORE 560 008.

8. SMT. LALITHA
W/O PULLIYAPPA ALIAS NAGARAJ
AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS
R/AT BYALAHALLI VILLAGE
ANUGONDANAHALLI HOBLI
HOSKOTE TALUK
BANGALORE RURAL DISTRICT 560 067.

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. KARAN BORAIAH D.R. ADV., FOR R1 TO R7
R8 SERVED)

THIS W.P. IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED DECEMBER 23, 2021 PASSED IN EX 53/2017 IN THE

COURT OF THE VII ADDITIONAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE, BANGALORE RURAL DISTRICT, BANGALORE AT ANNEXURE-A. DIRECT FURTHER PROCEEDINGS TO TAKE PLACE IN EX.53/2017 IN THE COURT OF THE VII ADDITIONAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE, BANGALORE RURAL DISTRICT, BANGALORE, IN ACCORDANCE WITH LAW & ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING IN 'B' GROUP, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIJAYKUMAR A. PATIL

ORAL ORDER

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the writ petition does not survive for consideration.

2. His submission is placed on record.

3. In view of the said submission, the petition is ***dismissed*** as having become infructuous.

Sd/-
(VIJAYKUMAR A. PATIL)
JUDGE

ABK
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 20