HC-KAR

NC: 2026:KHC:61028
WP No. 5690 of 2024

CNR: KAHC010117832024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 2ND DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.P.VIVEKANANDA

WRIT PETITION NO. 5690 OF 2024 (GM-POLICE)

BETWEEN:

1. SRI PRIYABRATA KUANR
S/O MAHINDRA KUMAR KUANR
AGED ABOUT 41 YEARS
R/AT NO L-201, BRIGADE
METROPOLIS APARTMENT
GARUDACHARYA PALYA
ITPL MAIN ROAD MAHADEVPURA
BANGALORE - 560048.

2. SRI KANNAN RANGANATHAN
S/O RANGANATHAN
AGED ABOUT 41 YEARS
R/AT 4/4, V.K..L STREET
N.G.G.O COLONY ASHOKAPURAM
COIMBATORE
TAMIL NADU - 641 022.

Digitally signed by PREMCHANDRA M R
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

3. SRI SHRIDHAR NAYAK
S/O BHAJAKRUSHNA NAYAK
AGED ABOUT 46 YEARS
R/AT NO D-408, BRIGADE
METROPOLIS APARTMENT
4TH FLOOR, GARUDACHARYA PALYA
MAHADEVPURA
BANGALORE - 560 048.

4. SMT GIRIJA PRIYADARSHINI KUANR
D/O MAHINDRA KUMAR KUANR
AGED ABOUT 38 YEARS
R/AT NO L-201, BRIGADE
METROPOLIS APARTMENT

GARUDACHARYA PALYA
ITPL MAIN ROAD MAHADEVPURA
BANGALORE - 560 048.

…PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. PRAJWAL P., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
   VIDHANA SOUDHA AMBEDKAR VEEDHI
   BANGALORE - 560 001
   BY ITS SECRETARY
   DEPARTMENT OF HOME.

2. THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE
   INFANTRY ROAD
   BANGALORE - 560 001.

3. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER OF POLICE
   CENTRAL CRIME BRANCH
   COTTONPETE MAIN ROAD
   SULTANPETE BAKSHI GARDEN
   CHIKPET BENGALURU - 560 003.

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. M. SINIVAS KUMAR, HCGP)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CTONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO DIRECTING THE R2, R3 AND SUBORDINATES OF R3 TO NOT HARASS THE PETITIONERS AND THEIR FAMILY MEMBERS WITHOUT DUE PROCESS OF LAW AND ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING 'B' GROUP, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.P.VIVEKANANDA

ORAL ORDER

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the writ petition was initially filed seeking a writ of mandamus

directing the respondents and their subordinates not to harass the petitioner and his family members.

2. This Court, by interim order dated 22.02.2024, had directed the respondent authorities not to interfere in the civil dispute between the parties and had also restrained the respondents from harassing the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits today that, after the interim order passed by this Court, there has been no call from the respondent Police, and the cause of action for the writ petition, which arose in the year 2024, no longer subsists. Therefore, he has filed a memo seeking permission to withdraw the writ petition.

4. Taking note of the memo, the writ petition is ***dismissed as withdrawn.***

Sd/-
(T.P.VIVEKANANDA)
JUDGE

TIN List No.: 1 Sl No.: 35