HC-KAR

NC: 2026:KHC:61750
WP No. 4352 of 2023

CNR: KAHC010097362023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 5TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

WRIT PETITION NO.4352 OF 2023 (KLR-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. SMT. JAYAMMA
D/O LATE MARIYAPPA,
AND W/O SRI. JOGAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 72 YEARS,
R/AT GOKARE VILLAGE,
KASABA HOBLI,
DEVANAHALLI TALUK,
BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT - 562110.

REP. BY HER SPECIAL POWER OF
ATTORNEY HOLDER,
SRI. J. MANJUNATH,
S/O SRI. JOGAPPA & SMT. JAYAMMA
AGED ABOUT 42 YEARS,
R/AT GOKARE VILLAGE,
KASABA HOBLI, DEVANAHALLI TALUK,
BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT – 562110.

…PETITIONER

(BY SRI. C. SHANKAR REDDY, ADVOCATE)

Digitally signed by CHAYA S A
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

AND:

1. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT,

DISTRICT OFFICE, 1ST FLOOR,
BEERASANDRA VILLAGE,
KUNDANA HOBLI,
DEVANAHALLI TALUK - 562110.

2. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER
DODDABALLAPURA SUB DIVISION
DODDABALLAPURA – 561203.

3. THE TAHSILDAR
DEVANAHALLI TALUK
DEVANAHALLI – 572110.

4. SMT. MUNITHAYAMMA
D/O LATE SRI MARIYAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 79 YEARS,
R/AT GOKARE VILLAGE,
KASABA HOBLI, DEVANAHALLI TALUK,
BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT – 562110.

5. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
REP BY ITS SECRETARY COMMISSIONER,
REVENUE DEPARTMENT,
GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA,
VIKASA SOUDHA,
DR. B.R. AMBEDKAR VEEDHI,
BENGALURU - 560001

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. SHAMANTH NAIK, AGA FOR R1 TO R3 & R5;
SRI. SRINATHA P., ADVOCATE FOR R4)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO QUASH

THE IMPUGNED ORDER DATED 12.12.2022, BEARING REV.PET.103/2020, THE ANNEXURE-M TO THE WRIT PETITION ISSUED BY THE R1 THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT AND DISMISS THE SAID PETITION.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING IN 'B' GROUP, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

ORAL ORDER

1. Heard learned counsel appearing for the parties.

2. Having taken note of the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing for the parties, the petitioner and respondent No.4 are the daughters of late Mariyappa, who died leaving behind the subject land. It is also forthcoming from the writ records that suit in O.S.No.298/2008 is pending consideration before the competent Civil Court, where the rights of the petitioner and respondent No.4 would be crystallised.

3. In that view of the matter, the impugned order dated 12.12.2022 (Annexure-M) passed by respondent No.1 is set aside and the order dated 07.03.2020 (Annexure-K) passed by respondent No.2 is accordingly confirmed as to continue the revenue records jointly in the name of the petitioner and respondent No.4, till the conclusion of the proceedings in O.S.No.298/2008.

4. Accordingly, respondent No.3 is directed to enter the name of the petitioner and respondent No.4 in the revenue records pertaining to the land in question till the conclusion of the proceedings in O.S.No.298/2008, within one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

5. Writ Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

SD/-
(E.S.INDIRESH)
JUDGE

sac
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 55