HC-KAR

NC: 2026:KHC:62622
CRL.P No. 1291 of 2024

CNR: KAHC010073552024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 11TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH RAI K

CRIMINAL PETITION NO. 1291 OF 2024 (482(Cr.PC) / 528(BNSS))

BETWEEN:

1. BRIJESH K D
   AGED ABOUT 31 YEARS,
   S/O K K DEVAIAH,
   KUTTA, BADAGA VILLAGE, VIRAJPET,
   KODAGU DISTRICT-571 217.

2. K K DEVAIAH
   AGED ABOUT 61 YEARS,
   S/O LATE K B KUTTAPPA
   KUTTA, BADAGA VILLAGE, VIRAJPET,
   KODAGU DISTRICT-571 217.

3. SMT K D KAVERAMMA
   AGED ABOUT 57 YEARS,
   W/O K K DEVAIAH,
   KUTTA, BADAGA VILLAGE, VIRAJPET,
   KODAGU DISTRICT-571 217.

Digitally signed by LAKSHMINARAYANA MURTHY RAJASHRI
Location: High Court of Karnataka

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. MANJUNATH G., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
   BY MYSURU CITY WOMEN PS
   REPRESENTED BY S.P.P
   HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA,
   BANGALORE-560 001.

2. KUSHI MUTHAMMA
NO. 1744, 7TH MAIN ROAD,
VIJAYANAGARA 2ND STAGE,
MYSURU CITY,
KARNATAKA-570 001.

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. MAHESHA .P, HCGP FOR R1,
SRI. N.R. RAVI KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R2(VK FILED),
SMT. VAIJAYANTHI MALA, ADVOCATE FOR R2(ABSENT))

THIS CRL.P IS FILED U/S.482 CR.P.C PRAYING TO QUASH THE FIR IN CR.NO.194/2023 DATED 23.11.2023 PURSUANT TO COMPLIANT DATED 23.11.2023 REGISTERED BY THE Ist RESPONDENT POLICE, MYSURU CITY WOMEN POLICE STATION FOR THE OFFENCE P/U/S.498-A,504,506,34 OF THE IPC, SEC.4 OF DP ACT 1961 PENDING ON THE FILE OF 7th ADDITIONAL CIVIL JUDGE (Jr.Dn.) AND JMFC COURT JLB ROAD, MYSURU.

THIS PETITION COMING ON FOR REPORTING SETTLEMENT THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH RAI K

ORAL ORDER

Sri N.R. Ravi Kumar, learned Counsel has filed vakalath for respondent No. 2 and the same is accepted. Office to show the name of Sri N.R. Ravi Kumar, as learned Counsel appearing for respondent No.2.

2. Learned Counsel for petitioners and the learned counsel for respondent No.2 have jointly filed an application under Section 359 read with Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 and it is submitted that the parties have amicably settled their dispute in M.C. No.835/2025 before the Family Court at Mysore with the intervention of the family members, elders and well wishers. As such, it is submitted by the learned counsel for respondent No.2 that the FIR and further proceedings initiated against the petitioners on the strength of the complaint lodged by respondent No.2 be quashed.

3. Placing reliance on joint affidavit and considering the nature of the dispute, admittedly, the offences alleged against the petitioners are non-compoundable in nature. However, having regard to the fact that the dispute between the parties is purely private and matrimonial in nature and all the other cases filed between the parties were settled amicably. In view of the principles laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in ***GIAN SINGH V. STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER***, reported in

(2012) 10 SCC 303, I am of the considered opinion that the settlement arrived at between the parties can be taken into consideration. As such the parties are permitted to compound the offence.

4. Consequently, the proceedings the FIR registered against the petitioners on the strength of the complaint lodged by respondent No.2 registered by respondent No.1-police in Crime No.194/2023 for the offences punishable under Sections 498A, 504, 506, 34 of IPC and Section 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, pending on the file of VII Additional Civil Judge (Jr. Dn.) and JMFC, JLB Road, Mysuru, is hereby quashed.

5. In the result, the Criminal Petition is disposed of.

Sd/-
(RAJESH RAI K)
JUDGE

CS
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 5