HC-KAR

NC: 2026:KHC:60074
CRL.P No. 1248 of 2026

CNR: KAHC010057312026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 29TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANT RAMANATH HEGDE

CRIMINAL PETITION NO. 1248 OF 2026

BETWEEN:

SRI SUKESH B R
AGED 30 YEARS,
S/O. B.N. RAVEENDRANATH,
R/AT NO.1/1, MARUTHI ENCLAVE,
6TH CROSS, BYRATHI,
BANGALORE - 560 077.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI MOHAMMED MUJASSIM.,ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA,
   BY EAST DIVISION WOMEN POLICE STATION,
   BENGALURU,
   REPRESENTED BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
   HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA,
   BENGALURU - 560001.

2. SMT. KAVANA. P
   AGED 24 YEARS,
   W/O. SUKESH B. R,
   11, KALPAVRUKSHA,
   BABUSAPALYA,
   KALYANA NAGARA,
   BANGALORE- 560043.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI NAGHARISH G S, HCGP FOR R1,
R2 - SERVED)

Digitally Signed by ANANT
RAMNATH HEGDE

CNR: KAHC010057312026

THIS CRL.P IS FILED U/S 482 CR.PC (FILED U/S 528 BNSS) BY THE ADVOCATE FOR THE PETITIONER PRAYING THAT THIS HONOURABLE COURT MAY BE PLEASED TO ACCEPT AND ALLOWS THIS INSTANT PETITION AND PASS APPROPRIATE ORDERS OR DIRECTIONS QUASHING THE CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS IN CC NO.54922/2024 WHICH IS THE OUTCOME OF INVESTIGATION IN CRIME NO.196/2023 FOR THE OFFENCES P/U/S 498A OF IPC COMPOUNDING THE OFFENCES INITIATED BY THE RESPONDENT NO.1 WHICH IS PENDING ON THE FILE OF THE XI ADDL.CMM MAYO HALL BANGALORE.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ADMISSION, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANT RAMANATH HEGDE

ORAL ORDER

Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned HCGP for the first respondent-State. Learned counsel for the Second respondent though served is not present before the Court.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the present petition is filed to quash the proceedings in C.C. No.54922/2024 arising from Crime No.196/2023. The offences alleged under Section 498A of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (IPC).

Digitally Signed by ANANT RAMNATH HEGDE

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is the husband of respondent no.2 and the matrimonial dispute between the parties is settled before the Family Court at Bengaluru in M.C. No.2811/2025 in terms of the order dated 03.05.2025.

4. Learned counsel would also refer to the mediation report in the aforementioned proceeding. The clause No.4 of the said report would clearly indicate that the second respondent has received Rs.15.00 lakhs through demand draft bearing No.188545 dated 29.04.2025 drawn on Karnataka Bank, Kothanur Branch, Bengaluru. Clause No.8 in the said mediation report would also indicate that the second respondent of this petition who is the first petitioner in the said case would co-operate for closure of C.C. No.54922/2024. The second respondent though served is not present before the Court.

5. The Court after perusing the aforementioned Memorandum of Settlement under Section 89 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 is of the view that the petition has to be allowed and the proceeding has to be quashed.

Digitally Signed by ANANT
RAMNATH HEGDE

6. Hence, the following:

ORDER

(i) The petition is ***allowed.***

(ii) The proceeding in C.C. No.54922/2024 arising out of Crime No.196/2023 is quashed.

Digitally Signed by ANANT RAMNATH HEGDE

(ANANT RAMANATH HEGDE)
JUDGE

CHS
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 15