HC-KAR

NC: 2026:KHC:13144
WP No. 2259 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 4TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

WRIT PETITION NO. 2259 OF 2026 (EDN-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. SRI. NARAYAN ACHARI
   S/O DIBBACHARI,
   AGED ABOUT 60 YEARS,
   RESIDING AT CHIKKATHIRUPATHI,
   SLV SCHOOL ROAD,
   NEAR PUNCHER SHOP,
   MALUR TALUK,
   KOLAR-563 160.

…PETITIONER

(BY SRI. BASAVARAJU H.N., ADVOCATE)

AND:

Digitally signed by CHAYA S A
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
   REP. BY ITS SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
   M.S. BUILDING,
   DR. B.R. AMBEDKAR VEEDHI,
   BENGALURU -560 001.

2. THE COMMISSIONER OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS,
   DEPARTMENT OF PRIMARY EDUCATION,
   NRUPATHUNGA ROAD,
   BENGALURU -560 001.

3. THE DIRECTOR OF PRIMARY EDUCATION,
   DEPARTMENT OF PRIMARY EDUCATION,

NRUPATHUNGA ROAD,
BENGALURU -560 001.

4. THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS,
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT,
KOLAR-563 101.

5. THE BLOCK EDUCATION OFFICER,
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION AND LITERACY,
MALUR-563 130.

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. YOGESH D. NAIK, AGA)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO DIRECT, RESPONDENT NO.4 TO CONSIDER AND DISPOSE OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED 19.12.2025 AS PER ANNEXURE-C TAKING NOTE OF THE MEMORANDUM DATED 13.08.2025 ISSUED BY THE RESPONDENT NO.5 IN NO. C.A/RA/NEW/AXFERD/STALANTARA/CR/19/2025-26 AS PER ANNEXURE-A, IN ACCORDANCE WITH SEC 36 AND 39 OF THE KARNATAKA EDUCATION ACT, 1983, AND RULES FRAMED THEREUNDER.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

ORAL ORDER

Learned Additional Government Advocate accepts notice for the respondents.

2. In the present writ petition, the petitioner has sought a direction to respondent No. 4 to consider the representation dated 19.12.2025, produced at Annexure–C.

3. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the parties.

4. Having taken note of the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing for the parties, it is evident that the petitioner has no *locus-standi* to submit such a representation to respondent No. 4 seeking action against the school in question, as the petitioner is neither a member of the said school or a member of its managing committee, or otherwise

connected with its administration in any legally recognizable manner.

5. In the absence of *locus-standi*, the petitioner cannot seek a direction for consideration of the representation referred to at Annexure–C.

Accordingly, the writ petition stands dismissed.

SD/-
(E.S.INDIRESH)
JUDGE

SB
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 21