APHC010705732018

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI **[3333]**
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE 26th DAY OF OCTOBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE V.SUJATHA

WRIT PETITION NO: 35529/2018

Between:

1. K.P.PRAVEEN KUMAR, S/O. T.K. MOHAN RAO, AGED ABOUT. 38 YEARS, OCC. TEMPORARY SUB-STAFF, LIC OF INDIA, YEMMIGANUR BRANCH, KURNOOL DISTRICT, R/O. H.NO. 1/2395, NEW INDIRA NAGAR, YEMMIGANUR TOWN AND MANDAL, KURNOOL DISTRICT, AP.
2. P.BHASKAR, S/O. LATE P.PEDDA RAMANNA, AGED ABOUT. 41 YEARS, OCC. TEMPORARY SUB-STAFF, LIC OF INDIA, YEMMIGANUR BRANCH, KURNOOL DISTRICT, R/O. H.NO. 12/6-21, M.B. CHURCH, KALUGOTLA ROAD, YEMMIGANUR TOWN AND MANDAL, KURNOOL DISTRICT, AP.
3. K.VEERESH, S/O. LATE K.GOVINDAPPA, AGED ABOUT. 46 YEARS, OCC. TEMPORARY SUB-STAFF, LIC OF INDIA, YEMMIGANUR BRANCH, KURNOOL DISTRICT, R/O. H.NO. 1/3142, S.M.T COLONY, YEMMIGANUR TOWN AND MANDAL, KURNOOL DISTRICT, AP.
4. S.RANGANNA, S/O. LATE S. MAREPPA, AGED ABOUT. 40 YEARS, OCC. TEMPORARY SUB-STAFF, LIC OF INDIA, YEMMIGANUR BRANCH, KURNOOL DISTRICT. R/O. H.NO. 12/105, M.B. CHURCH, KALUGOTLA ROAD, YEMMIGANUR TOWN AND MANDAL, KURNOOL DISTRICT, AP.

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. THE LIFE INSURANCE CORPORATIONLIC OF INDIA, REP., BY ITS, CHAIRMAN, CENTRAL OFFICE, YOGAKSHEMA, JEEVAN BEEMA MARG, MUMBAI, INDIA.

2. THE SR DIVISIONAL MANAGER, DIVISIONAL OFFICE, LIC OF INDIA, COLLEGE ROAD, KADAPA TOWN AND DISTRICT-516004, AP.

3. THE LIFE INSURANCE CORPORATIONLIC OF INDIA, REP., BY ITS BRANCH MANAGER, YEMMIGANUR BRANCH, CO-OPERATIVE COLONY, YEMMIGANUR TOWN AND MANDAL, KURNOOL DISTRICT, AP.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased toto issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or Direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of mandamus, to declare the illegal action of the respondents authorities, particularly 2nd Respondent and 3rd Respondents herein, in taking steps to appoint some other temporary employees in place of the petitioners in the respondents corporation treating the posts held by the petitioners as vacant is highly arbitrary bad, illegal, and unjust, and its nothing but abuse of their official powers and also violation of Article 19 and 21 Constitution of India, and consequently direct the respondents herein, to continue and absorb the petitioners in the post of sub-staffs since they have put up service several years in the respondents corporation, and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2018

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to issue the directions to the respondents herein, to retain and continue the petitioners as sub-staffs on temporary basis, without recruiting temporary employee or replacing the petitioners, in the interest of justice, Pending disposal of main Writ Petition, and to pass

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1. G R SUDHAKAR

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. BATHULA RAJ KIRAN (SC FOR LIC)

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

The present Writ Petition came to be filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following relief:-

> *"…to issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or Direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of mandamus, to declare the illegal action of the respondents authorities, particularly 2nd Respondent and 3rd Respondents herein in taking steps to appoint some other temporary employees in place of the petitioners in the respondents corporation treating the posts held by the petitioners as vacant is highly arbitrary bad, illegal, and unjust, and it's nothing but abuse of their official powers and also violation of Article 19 and 21 Constitution of India, and consequently direct the respondents herein, to continue and absorb the petitioners in the post of sub-staffs since they have put up service several years in the respondents corporation, and pass…."*

2. When the writ petition came up for admission on 04.10.2018, this Court passed the following interim order:

> *"In view of the earlier interim orders of this Court in I.A.No.1 of 2018 in W.P.No.3425 of 2018, dated 05.02.2018, if the petitioners are continuing, the respondents are directed not to replace them with any temporary employees recruited afresh."*

3. Today, when the matter came up for hearing, learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents furnished a copy of the instructions dated 07.10.2026, wherein it is stated that the petitioners had worked as daily wagers at the Yemmiganoor Branch Office during the period from 01.07.2011 to 31.01.2013 and were subsequently disengaged from service with effect from 01.02.2013. It is further stated that the petitioners were not working in the respondent Corporation as on the date of the interim order dated 04.10.2018 passed by this Court.

4. A perusal of the interim order dated 04.10.2018 discloses that the respondents were directed not to replace the petitioners with any temporary employees recruited afresh, subject to the condition that the petitioners were continuing in service. However, the instructions furnished by learned Standing Counsel state that the petitioners, though they had worked as daily wagers at the Yemmiganoor Branch Office during the period from 01.07.2011 to 31.01.2013, were subsequently disengaged from service with effect from 01.02.2013 and were not working in the respondent Corporation as on the date of the interim order. Thus, none of the petitioners was continuing in service as on the date of the interim order.

5. In view of the above circumstances, the question of continuing the petitioners in service pursuant to the interim order dated 04.10.2018 does not arise.

6. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs.

Consequently, all pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE V.SUJATHA

Dated: 26.10.2026
KGR/GCD