Date of reserved for orders :
Date of pronouncement :
Date of uploading :

APHC010702792017

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

[3329]

MONDAY, THE 2nd DAY OF NOVEMBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

WRIT PETITION NO: 30961/2017

Between:

1. MORAVANENI MADHAVA RAO, S/O. LATE M.VENKATA SUBBAIAH, HINDU, AGED 72 YEARS, OCC: CULTIVATION R/O. D.NO. 1-25, ANKANNAGUDEM VILLAGE, PEDAVEGI MANDAL, W.G. DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH.
2. MORAVANENI SUDHAKARA RAO, S/O. SRI M. MADHAVA RAO, HINDU, AGED 36 YEARS, OCC: CULTIVATION, R/O. D.NO. 1-25, ANKANNAGUDEM VILLAGE, PEDAVEGI MANDAL, W.G. DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH.

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. THE A P EASTERN POWER DISTRIBUTION COMPANY LTD, REP. BY ITS CHAIRMAN, VISAKHAPATNAM, VISAKHAPATNAM DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH.
2. THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, A.P. EASTERN POWER DISTRIBUTION COMPANY LTD., RAMACHANDRA RAO PET, ELURU, WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT, A.P.
3. THE DIVISIONAL ENGINEER, A.P. EASTCRN POWER DISTRIBUTION COMPANY LTD., JANGAREDDYGUDEM, W.G. DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH.
4. THE ASSISTANT DIVISIONAL ENGINEER, A.P. EASTCRN POWER DISTRIBUTION COMPANY LTD., KAMAVARAPUKOTA, WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT. ANDHRA PRADESH.
5. A P EASTERN POWER DISTRIBUTION COMPANY LTD, KAMAVARAPUKOTA, WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased toto issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of a writ of mandamus declaring the

action of the respondents 2 to 5 in disconnecting the power supply to the Agricultural electricity Service Connection vide S.C.No. 118102000772 and in removing the transformer situated in R.S.No. 115/1 of Ankannagudcm Village, Pedavegi Mandal, West Godavari District, without any power or authority and without any notice as highly illegal, violative of principles of natural justice and contrary to law and consequently restore the same and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2017(WPMP 38582 OF 2017

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased To direct the respondents to restore the power supply to agricultural electricity service connection vide S.C. No. 118102000772 situated in R.S.No. 145/1 of Ankannagudem Village, Pedavegi Mandal, West Godavari District, Andhra Pradesh pending disposal of the above writ petition and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1. TURAGA SAI SURYA

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.

The Court made the following:

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

WRIT PETITION NO.30961 OF 2017

ORDER:

1. This writ petition is filed to issue writ of mandamus declaring the action of the Respondent Nos.2 to 5 in disconnecting the power supply to the Agricultural Electricity Service Connection vide S.C.No.118102000772 and in removing the transformer situated in R.S.No.145/1 of Ankannagudem Village, Pedavegi Mandal, West Godavari District, without any power and consequently to restore the same.

2. The claim of the petitioner is that, the respondents are proposing to disconnect entire power supply in respect of Agricultural Electricity Service Connection in respect of S.C.No.118102000772 and in removing the transformer situated in R.S.No.145/1 of Ankannagudem Village, Pedavegi Mandal, West Godavari District.

3. At the stage of admission, on 18.09.2017, this Court granted interim order, which reads as follows:

> *"Notice before admission.*
> *Post after four weeks.*
> *In the meanwhile, the service connection to the petitioners shall be restored and the petitioner shall continue to pay the electricity charges."*

4. On the other hand, the learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents submits that the apprehension of the petitioner regarding disconnection of the electricity service connection is unfounded, as the service connection of the petitioner has never been disconnected by the respondents. Therefore, the question of restoration of the service connection does not arise, since the same continues to remain in operation.

5. In view of the categorical submission made by the learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents that the electricity service connection of the petitioner continues to remain in operation without any disconnection, it is evident that the grievance of the petitioner is already redressed. Consequently, no further cause survives for consideration or adjudication in the present writ petition.

4. Accordingly, writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. The petitioner is at liberty to challenge subsequent proceedings if any issued by the respondents, if advised. No order as to costs.

5. Consequently, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall also stand closed.

JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

Date: 02.11.2026
SP