APHC010669322018

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI **[3333]**
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE 26th DAY OF OCTOBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE V.SUJATHA

WRIT PETITION NO: 32722/2018

Between:

1. B.SHIVAJI,, S/O. B.SHESHANNA, AGED ABOUT. 23 YEARS, OCC. TEMPORARY SUB-STAFF, LIC OF INDIA, KURNOOL, R/O. H.NO. 39-52-2B, BAPUJI NAGAR, PARK ROAD, KURNOOL DISTRICT, AP.

2. GADI MADAN KUMAR,, S/O. GADI RAMUDU, AGED ABOUT. 28 YEARS, OCC. TEMPORARY SUB-STAFF, LIC OF INDIA, KURNOOL, R/O. H.NO. 1-6/A, LADDAGIRI VILLAGE, KODUMUR MANDAL, KURNOOL DISTRICT, AR

3. M.RAJU,, S/O. M.ILAIAH, AGED ABOUT. 23 YEARS, OCC. TEMPORARY SUB-STAFF, LIC OF INDIA, RIVER RIVEW COLONY, BRANCH OFFICE, KURNOOL, R/O. H.NO. 49/50-A-335-14, MADHAVA BASAVA PUMAIAH NAGAR, SREE RAMA NAGAR, KURNOOL DISTRICT, AP.

4. P.SUBRAMANYAM,, S/O. LATE P.VENKATANNA, AGED ABOUT. 34 YEARS, OCC. TEMPORARY SUB-STAFF, LIC OF INDIA, KURNOOL BRANCH, KADAPA DIVISION, R/O. H.NO. 27/27, PEDDA RANJA RAJU STREET, NEAR ONE TOWN, KURNOOL DISTRICT, AP.

5. S.SADHIK BASHA,, S/O. S.SHAIK SHAVALI, AGED ABOUT. 31 YEARS, OCC. TEMPORARY SUB-STAFF, LIC OF INDIA, KURNOOL BRANCH, KADAPA DIVISION, R/O. H.NO. 46-616, BUDAWARPETA, NEAR MEDICAL COLLEGE, KURNOOL DISTRICT, AP.

6. N.PRASAD BABU @ N.PRASAD,, S/O. N.MOHAN RAJU, AGED ABOUT. 30 YEARS, OCC. TEMPORARY SUB-STAFF, LIC OF INDIA, KURNOOL BRANCH, KADAPA DIVISION, R/O. H.NO. 5-86, SALAMMAGARI STREET, VELDURTHI (PANDM), DHONE (TQ),

KURNOOL DISTRICT, AP.

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. THE LIFE INSURANCE CORPORATIONLIC OF INDIA, REP., BY ITS, CHAIRMAN, CENTRAL OFFICE, YOGAKSHEMA, JEEVAN BEEMA MARG, MUMBAI, INDIA.
2. THE SR DIVISIONAL MANAGER, DIVISIONAL OFFICE, LIC OF INDIA, COLLEGE ROAD, KADAPA TOWN AND DISTRICT-516004, AP.
3. THE LIFE INSURANCE CORPORATIONLIC OF INDIA, REP., BY ITS BRANCH MANAGER, KURNOOL BRANCH, RIVER VIEW COLONY, KURNOOL TOWN AND DISTRICT,
4. THE LIFE INSURANCE CORPORATIONLIC OF INDIA, REP., BY ITS BRANCH MANAGER, DHONE BRANCH, DHOEN TOWN, KURNOOL DISTRICT, AP.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased toto issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or Direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of mandamus, to declare the illegal action of the respondents authorities, particularly 2nd Respondent to 4th Respondents herein, not permitted the petitioners to do their assigned duties, as a Sub-Staff of LIC of India, and working in the 3rd Respondent and 4th Respondents Branches, without any sort of notice, and same as been illegal, arbitrary and unjust, and it's nothing but abuse of their official powers and also violation of Article 19 and 21 Constitution of India, and Consequently direct the Respondents herein, forthwith continue and allowed to work the petitioners, as a Sub-Staff or any other assignment / work given by the 3rd and 4th respondents, and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2018

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to issue the directions to the respondents herein, forthwith continue the petitioners services as a Sub-Staff of LIC of India, in the 3rd and 4th respondent branches, or any other assignment work given by the 3rd and 4th

respondent authorities, and pending disposal of the main Writ Petition, and to pass

IA NO: 2 OF 2018

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant leave to the petitioners to file additional affidavit and additional material papers in WP.No.32722 of 2018 and to pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2023

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased pleased to Expedited Petition for dismissed the writ petition as it is coved by the Judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme court dt.27-04-2022 and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1. G R SUDHAKAR

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. BATHULA RAJ KIRAN (SC FOR LIC)

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

The present Writ Petition came to be filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following relief:-

> *"…to issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or Direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of mandamus to declare the illegal action of the respondents authorities, particularly 2nd Respondent to 4th Respondents herein, not permitted the petitioners to do their assigned duties, as a Sub-Staff of LIC of India, and working in the 3rd Respondent and 4th Respondents Branches, without any sort of notice, and same as been illegal, arbitrary and unjust, and it's nothing but abuse of their official powers and also violation of Article 19 and 21 Constitution of India, and Consequently direct the Respondents herein, forthwith continue and allowed to work the petitioners, as a Sub-Staff or any other assignment / work given by the 3rd and 4th respondents….."*

2. The case of the petitioners is that petitioner Nos.1 to 5 are residents of Kurnool District, have completed Intermediate and were engaged as Sub-Staff on daily-wage basis in the 3rd respondent's Branch Office in May, 2014, and continued to work till 14.08.2018. Petitioner No.6, who completed SSC and ITI, was engaged as Sub-Staff on daily-wage basis in the 4th respondent's Branch Office in March, 2009. It is their case that they have been discharging their duties to the satisfaction of the customers and the respondent authorities without any adverse remarks. While so, on the instructions of respondent No.2, the 3rd and 4th respondents orally instructed petitioner Nos.1 to 5 and petitioner No.6, respectively, not to attend their duties with effect from 15.08.2018, without issuing any notice or assigning any reasons. Though the petitioners were paid their wages up to 14.08.2018, they were not permitted to

continue their duties thereafter. Aggrieved by the said action, the petitioners have filed the present Writ Petition seeking a direction to the respondents to permit them to resume their duties as Sub-Staff or in any other assignment.

3. When the writ petition came up for admission on 04.10.2018, this Court passed the following interim order:

> *"In view of the earlier interim orders of this Court in I.A.No.1 of 2018 in W.P.No.3425 of 2018, dated 05.02.2018, if the petitioners are continuing, the respondents are directed not to replace them with any temporary employees recruited afresh."*

4. Today, when the matter came up for hearing, learned Standing Counsel appearing for all the respondents has furnished a copy of the instructions, dated 07.10.2026, wherein it is stated that petitioner Nos.1 to 5 were never engaged by the respondent Corporation at its Branch Office, Kurnool, as on the date of the interim order dated 04.10.2018 passed by this Court. With regard to petitioner No.6, it is stated that he worked as a daily wager at the Dhone Branch Office from February 2011 to July 2014 and was subsequently disengaged with effect from 31.07.2014, much prior to the passing of the interim order. It is further stated that none of the petitioners was engaged or continued in service at the Dhone Branch Office of the Life Insurance Corporation of India as on the date of the interim order dated 04.10.2018.

5. A perusal of the interim order dated 04.10.2018 discloses that the respondents were directed not to replace the petitioners with any temporary employees recruited afresh, subject to the condition that the petitioners were continuing in service. However, the instructions furnished by learned Standing

Counsel stated that petitioner Nos.1 to 5 were not engaged by the Life Insurance Corporation of India as on the date of the interim order dated 04.10.2018. On the other hand, petitioner No.6, though engaged as a daily wager at the Dhone Branch Office, was disengaged from service with effect from 31.07.2014, much prior to the passing of the interim order. Thus, none of the petitioners was continuing in service as on the date of the interim order.

6. In view of the above circumstances, the question of continuing the petitioners in service pursuant to the interim order dated 04.10.2018 does not arise.

7. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs.

Consequently, all pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE V.SUJATHA

Dated: 26.10.2026
KGR/GCD