APHC010612692026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI **[3521]**
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE 25th DAY OF NOVEMBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 10324/2026

Between:

1. MADDURU BHASKAR, S/O SRINIVASULU,AGED ABOUT 27 YEARS,RESIDING AT CHILLAKUM VADDIPALEM VILLAGE,PELLAKUR MANDAL, TIRUPATHI DISTRICT.
2. MADDURU CHANDU, S/O SRINIVASULU,HINDU, AGED ABOUT 27 YEARS.RESIDING AT CHILLAKURU VADDIPALEM VILLAGE,PELLAKUR MANDAL, TIRUPATHI DISTRICT.
3. MADDURU SRINIVASULU, S/O VEERA RAGHAVAIAH,AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS.RESIDING AT CHILLAKURU VADDIPALEM VILLAGE,PELLAKUR MANDAL, TIRUPATHI DISTRICT.
4. MALLI DAYAKAR, S/O.PICHAIAH,AGED ABOUT 35 YEARS.RESIDING AT CHILLAKURU VADDIPALEM VILLAGE,PELLAKUR MANDAL, TIRUPATHI DISTRICT.
5. BANDI SIVAKUMAR SIVAIAH, S/O CHINNA ANKAIAH,AGED ABOUT 44 YEARS.RESIDING AT CHILLAKURU VADDIPALEM VILLAGE,PELLAKUR MANDAL, TIRUPATHI DISTRICT.
6. BELLAMKONDA RAVI, S/O KRISHNAIAH,HINDU, AGED ABOUT 56 YEARS.RESIDING AT CHILLAKURU VADDIPALEM VILLAGE,PELLAKUR MANDAL, TIRUPATHI DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER/ACCUSED(S)

AND

THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITSPUBLIC PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT OF A.P., AT AMARAVATI.

...RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

Counsel for the Petitioner/accused(S):

B SESIBUSHAN RAO

Counsel for the Respondent/complainant:

PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

The Criminal Petition has been filed under Sections 480 and 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for brevity 'the BNSS'), seeking to enlarge the Petitioners/Accused Nos.2 to 7 on bail in connection with Crime No.109 of 2026 of Pellakur Police Station, Tirupati District, registered for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 103(1) read with 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for brevity 'the BNS').

2. Sri B.Sesibushan Rao, the learned Counsel for the Petitioners submits that the Petitioners are innocent of the alleged offence. They have been falsely implicated by the police. They are law-abiding citizens. They have not committed any offence. They are the sole earning members of the family. The petitioners undertake to strictly adhere to any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, the learned counsel prays to allow the petition.

3. *Per contra*, Mr.A.Sai Rohit, the learned Assistant Public Prosecutor vehemently opposed the grant of bail to the Petitioners, submitting that the investigation is still underway and several material witnesses remain to be examined. It is contended that if the Petitioners are released on bail at this stage, there is a strong likelihood that they may abscond, thereby hampering

the ongoing investigation and evading the process of law and urged to dismiss the Petition.

4. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Assistant Public Prosecutor. Perused the record.

5. On perusal of the record, the petitioner/Accused No.4 surrendered before the learned jurisdictional Magistrate on 16.09.2026 and has been in judicial custody for the past 70 days. The petitioners/Accused Nos.2, 3 and 5 were arrested on 11.09.2026, whereas the petitioners/Accused Nos.6 and 7 were arrested on 10.09.2026. They have been in judicial custody for the past 75 days. The petitioners/Accused Nos.2 and 3 are aged about 27 years.

6. The prosecution alleges that the petitioners committed the murder of the deceased, namely, Valipi Balaiah, aged about 65 years, who is the father of the de-facto complainant. The specific allegation against the petitioners is that they, along with others, assaulted the deceased with their fists, as a result of which he died. So far, thirteen witnesses, who are stated to be the star witnesses for the prosecution, have been examined. The petitioners are residents of Tirupati District and have fixed places of abode.

7. This Court, *vide* order dated 10.11.2026 passed in Crl.P.No.9468 of 2026, enlarged Accused No.1 on bail subject to certain stringent conditions. The present petitioners/Accused Nos.2 to 7 are also stated to be on the same footing as Accused No.1.

8. The learned Assistant Public Prosecutor submits that the petitioners/Accused Nos.2 to 7 filed bail applications before the learned Trial

Court *vide* Crl.M.P.Nos.663 and 671 of 2026, and the same were dismissed by the learned Trial Court *vide* common order dated 12.10.2026.

9. Be that as it may, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the gravity and nature of the allegations levelled against the petitioners, the period of their judicial custody and the stage of the trial, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioners/Accused Nos.2 to 7 on bail, subject to certain stringent conditions.

10. In the result, the Criminal Petition is allowed with the following stringent conditions:

> i. The petitioners/Accused Nos.2 to 7 shall be enlarged on bail subject to their executing a bond for a sum of Rs.50,000/-(Rupees Fifty Thousand only) each with two sureties for the like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Naidupeta, SPSR Nellore District.
>
> ii. The petitioners/Accused Nos.2 to 7 shall appear before the Station House Officer concerned, on every Saturday in between 10:00 am and 05:00 pm, till filing of the charge sheet.
>
> iii. The petitioners/Accused Nos.2 to 7 shall cooperate with the Investigating Officer in further investigation of the case and shall make themselves available for

interrogation by the Investigating Officer as and when required.

iv. The petitioners/Accused Nos.2 to 7 shall not leave the limits of the State of Andhra Pradesh without prior permission from the Station House Officer concerned.

v. The petitioners/Accused Nos.2 to 7 shall not commit or indulge in commission of any offence while on bail.

vi. The petitioners/Accused Nos.2 to 7 shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court.

vii. The petitioners/Accused Nos.2 to 7 shall surrender their passports, if any, to the Investigating Officer. If they claim that they do not have passports, they shall submit affidavits to that effect to the Investigating Officer.

_____

DR. Y. LAKSHMANA RAO, J

Date: 25.11.2026
RSI

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 10324 of 2026

Date: 25.11.2026
RSI