APHC010609072026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI **[3331]**
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE 18th DAY OF NOVEMBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

WRIT PETITION NO: 33325/2026

Between:

1. O VIVEKANAND, S/O. BALA KRISHNARNA NAIDU, AGED 59 YEARS, OCC AGRICULTURE, R/O. D.NO.1-140/B, APPALAYAGUNTA VILLAGE, VADAMALAPETA MANDAL, TIRUPTI DISTRICT - 517551

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP.BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, REVENUE DEPARTMENT, A.P.SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI, GUNTUR DISTRICT - 522238.
2. THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER OF LAND ADMINISTRATION, MANGALAGIRI, GUNTUR DISTRICT - 522503.
3. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, TIRUPATI, TIRUPATI DISTRICT - 517501.
4. THE JOINT COLLECTOR, TIRUPATI, TIRUPATI DISTRICT - 517503.
5. THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, TIRUPATI, TIRUPATI DISTRICT - 517501.
6. THE INAM DEPUTY TAHSILDAR, O/O. COLLECTORATE, TIRUPATI, TIRUPATI DISTRICT - 517501.
7. THE TAHSILDAR, TIRUPATI RURAL MANDAL, TIRUPATI DISTRICT - 517507.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue any writ or direction more particularly a writ in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in non implementation of patta and non-mutation of name of the petitioner in online web-land register in respect of land admeasuring an extent of Ac.1-60 cents in Sy.No.246 of Thiruchanuru Village, Tirupati Rural Mandal, Tirupati District, by considering the representations dated 09.09.2024 and 23.07.2026 made by the petitioner as illegal, arbitrary, violative of Articles 14, 21 and 300-A of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents to implement the patta and mutate the name of the petitioner in online web-land register in respect of land admeasuring an extent of Ac.1-60 cents in Sy.No.246 of Thiruchanuru Village, Tirupati Rural Mandal, Tirupati District, by considering the, representations 09.09.2024 and 23.07.2026 made by the petitioner

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased may be pleased to direct the respondents to consider the representations dated 09.09.2024 and 23.07.2026 made by the petitioner and to pass appropriate orders thereon to implement the patta and mutate the name of the petitioner in online web-land register in respect of land admeasuring an extent of Ac.1-60 cents in Sy.No.246 of Thiruchanuru Village, Tirupati Rural Mandal, Tirupati District pending disposalof the above writ petition and pass such

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. V VINOD K REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following order:

Heard Sri V. Vinod K Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner, and Sri Divya Teja, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue, for respondents 1 to 7.

2. The above writ petition is filed to declare the inaction of the respondents in mutating the name of the petitioner in the online Web Land Register in

respect of an extent of Ac.1.60 cents in Sy.No.246 of Thiruchanuru Village, Tirupati Rural Mandal, Tirupati District, as illegal and arbitrary.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner's father was granted Ryotwari Patta *vide* S.N.No.69-76 dated 19.10.1976 (Ex.P1) in respect of an extent of Ac.1.60 cents in Sy.No.246 of Thiruchanuru Village, Tirupati Rural Mandal, Tirupati District. Thereafter, the petitioner's father made representations to update the Record of Rights. The 1st respondent,*vide*Memo No.REVO1-LANAOMISC/100/2019-EJA-A-R dated 12.03.2019, directed the District Collector – 3rd respondent, to examine the matter and take necessary action to implement IDT patta. Again, the 1st respondent,*vide* Memo No.LANAOMISC/100/2019-EA&AR dated 28.01.2021 (Ex.P4), directed the 3rd respondent to examine the matter and take necessary action to implement IDT patta *vide* Ex.P1. The petitioner's father died on 14.06.2023. Thereafter, the petitioner made representations dated 09.09.2024 (Ex.P5) and 23.07.2026 (Ex.P6) to implement IDT patta vide Ex.P1. However, the Tahsildar – 7th respondent failed to implement the same.

4. Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue, submitted the instructions of the Tahsildar – 7th respondent. The relevant portion of the instructions reads as follows:

> *"The petitioner claims that his father, Oruganti Balakrishnama Naidu, was granted a Ryotwari Patta (Form 8, bearing No.65/76) by the Inam Deputy Tahsildar, Chandragiri on 19.10.1976 for an extent of Ac.1.60 cents in Sy.No.246 (Part), and he is seeking mutation for the same following his father's demise on 14.06.2023.*
>
> *The lands in Sy.No.246 have a complex litigation history regarding the grant of Ryotwari Pattas. The Chief Commissioner of Land Administration (CCLA) vide orders dated 29.07.2013 previously remanded the matter back to the primary authority (Inam Deputy Tahsildar, Chittoor) for fresh disposal.*
>
> *Aggrieved by the aforementioned IDT proceedings dated 27.08.2019, several claimants filed Inam Appeals before the Revenue Divisional officer (RDO), Tirupati for the entire extent of land in Sy.No.246, requesting to set aside the IDT orders and grant Ryotwari Pattas."*

5. Learned Assistant Government Pleader further submits that the 7th respondent will consider the representations dated 09.09.2024 (Ex.P5) and 23.07.2026 (Ex.P6) and pass appropriate orders by following due procedure.

6. Given the facts and circumstances of the case, without touching the merits of the matter, the writ petition is disposed of, at the stage of admission, with the consent of learned counsel appearing on either side, directing the learned 7th respondent to consider the representations dated 09.09.2024 (Ex.P5) and 23.07.2026 (Ex.P6) and pass appropriate orders keeping in view the IDT Patta *vide*Ex.P1, in respect of an extent of Ac.1.60 cents in Sy.No.246 of Thiruchanuru Village, Tirupati Rural Mandal, Tirupati District, strictly as per the law. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

Date: 18.11.2026
SNI

243

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

WRIT PETITION NO: 33325 OF 2026

Date: 18.11.2026
SNI