APHC010606442026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI **[3331]**
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE 18th DAY OF NOVEMBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

WRIT PETITION NO: 33491/2026

Between:

1. JANGALA CHALAMAYYA, S/O. LATE NARAYANA RAO, AGED ABOUT 76 YEARS, R/O. D.NO.7-10-15, NISTALAVARI STREET, ANAKAPALLI, ANAKAPALLI DISTRICT, PIN 531001.
2. JANGALA RAMESH,, S/O. CHALAMAYYA, AGED ABOUT 46 YEARS, R/O. D.NO.7- 10-15, NISTALAVARI STREET, ANAKAPALLI, ANAKAPALLI DISTRICT, PIN 531001.

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, REVENUE DEPARTMENT, A.P. SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATI, GUNTUR DISTRICT, PIN 522503.
2. THE COMMISSIONER AND DIRECTOR OF SURVEY, SETTLEMENTS AND LAND RECORDS, GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH, MANGALAGIRI, GUNTUR DISTRICT, PIN 522503.
3. THE JOINT COLLECTORCUMSETTLEMENT OFFICER, ANAKAPALLI, ANAKAPALLI DISTRICT, PIN 531001.
4. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS ESTATE MANAGER-CUMTAHSILDAR, ANAKAPALLI, DESIGNATED AUTHORITY UNDER THE ESTATES ABOLITION ACT, 1948, FOR EDULAPAKA-BONANGI ZAMIN ESTATE, ANAKAPALLI DISTRICT PIN 531001.
5. THE TAHSILDAR, PARAWADA MANDAL, ANAKAPALLI DISTRICT -

PIN 531001.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased toissue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate Writ, Order or Direction, declaring the inaction of the 1st respondent in not taking up and disposing of the Revision Petition filed by the petitioners on 07.09.2026 under Section 5(2) of the Andh-a Pradesh (Andhra Area) Estates (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Act, 1948, against the order dated 22.07.2026 in C.No.32245/2026/L5 of the 3rd respondent, as illegal, arbitrary and violative of Articles 14, 21 and 300 A of the Constitution of India, and consequently direct the 1st respondent to hear and dispose of the said Revision Petition on merits, in accordance with law, after affording an opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioners and the respondents, within a time stipulated by this Hon'ble Court, say four (4) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of the order

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased direct the 2nd respondent to list the Revision Petition for hearing further directing the respondents to maintain the status quo as on today in respect of the lands claimed in the Revision Petition in EdulapakaBonangi, Buddapuvanipalem, Tanam, Tadi, Ponnuru Agraharam, Lemarthy Agraharam, Jagannadhapuram and Parawada Villages, Anakapalli District, pending disposal of the above writ petition

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1. V V N NARAYANA RAO

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following Order:

Heard Sri V. V. N. Narayana Rao, learned counsel for the petitioners and Sri Divya Teja, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue appearing for respondents 1 to 3.

2. The above writ petition is filed to declare the inaction of the 2nd respondent in taking up and disposing of the Revision Petition filed by the petitioners on 07.09.2026 under Section 5(2) of the Andhra Pradesh Estates (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Act, 1948.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners filed a statutory revision on 07.09.2026 before the 2nd respondent against the orders passed by the 3rd respondent *vide* C.No.32245/2026/L5, dated 22.07.2026 (Ex.P1). However, the 2nd respondent has failed to proceed with the enquiry. He also submits that the 1st petitioner is 76 years old and is a Senior Citizen.

4. Sri Divya Teja, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue, appearing for respondents 1 to 3, on instructions of the 2nd respondent, submits that the Authority will dispose of the revision strictly in accordance with Law within a period of six (06) weeks by calling for the records from the lower Authority.

5. Given the facts and circumstances of the case, keeping the Writ Petition pending and inviting a counter may not serve any purpose. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is disposed of, at the stage of admission, with the consent of the learned counsel on either side, directing the learned 2nd respondent to dispose of the revision *vide* Ex.P2 filed by the petitioners against the proceedings *vide* Ex.P1 as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of five (05) months from the date of receipt of this order by affording an opportunity to the petitioners, strictly as per the law.

There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

Date: 18.11.2026
KPV

137

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

WRIT PETITION NO: 33491 OF 2026

Date: 18.11.2026
KPV