APHC010597232026

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH**
**AT AMARAVATI** **[3331]**
**(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

WEDNESDAY, THE 18th DAY OF NOVEMBER 2026

**PRESENT**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI**

**WRIT PETITION NO: 33336/2026**

**Between:**

1. KAKARLA GANGARAJU, S/O. SATYANARAYANA, AGED ABOUT 64 YEARS, OCC AGRICULTURE, R/O. ATCHANNAPALEM, H/O. NALLAJERLA, NALLAJERLA MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT

**...PETITIONER**

**AND**

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, REVENUE DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATI, GUNTUR DISTRICT - 522238.
2. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, RAJAHMAHENDRAVARAM, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH -533101.
3. THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICERCUMLAND REFORMS TRIBUNAL, KOVVUR, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT - 534350.
4. THE TAHSILDAR, GOPALAPURAM MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH - 533274.
5. KONDAMURI RAJAGOPALARAO, S/O. BHASKARARAO, RIO. BHIMOLU VILLAGE, GOPALAPURAM MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT - 533274

**...RESPONDENT(S):**

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or Direction, more particularly one in the nature of a Writ of Mandamus, declaringthe inaction of the

3rdrespondent, in not considering and disposing of the petitioners representation dated 13.10.2026, submitted against the proceedings of the 4threspondent in Roc.No.225/2023/A, dated 14.08.2023,insofar as the land admeasuring Ac.21.94 cents in R.S.No.322 of Bhimolu Village, Gopalapuram Mandal, East Godavari District, is concerned, as arbitrary, illegal, unreasonable and violative of Articles 14 and 300-A of the Constitution of India, and consequently direct the 3rdrespondent or such other competent authority, as the case may be, to consider and dispose of the petitioners representation dated 13.10.2026 and to pass

**IA NO: 1 OF 2026**

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents not to effect any further mutation or alteration in the revenue records in respect of the land admeasuring Ac.21.94 cents in R.S.No.322 of Bhimolu Village, Gopalapuram Mandal, East Godavari District, pursuant to the proceedings in Roc.No.225/2023/A, dated 14.08.2023, without following due process of law and without considering the petitioner's objections pending disposal of the above writ petition and to pass

**Counsel for the Petitioner:**

1. RIZWAN ALI SHAIK

**Counsel for the Respondent(S):**

1. GP FOR REVENUE

**The Court made the following order:**

Heard Sri Rizwan Ali Shaik, learned counsel for the petitioner, and Sri Divya Teja, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue for respondents 1 to 4. Notice in respect of the 5th respondent is dispensed with, as no adverse order has been passed affecting his rights or interests.

2. The petitioner's grievance is that the 3rd respondent has failed to consider the representation dated 13.10.2026 (Ex.P1), made against the proceedings of the 4th respondent *vide* Roc.No.225/2023/A dated 14.08.2023.

3. During the course of the arguments, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue submits that the representation *vide* Ex.P1 is not in

consonance with Rule 21 of the Andhra Pradesh Rights in Land and Pattadar Pass Books Rules, 1989 (hereinafter referred to as the 'Rules'). It is submitted that the petitioner has to file an appeal in consonance with Rule 21 of the Rules.

4. In reply, learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner will file a statutory appeal in terms of Section 5(4) of the Andhra Pradesh Rights in Land and Pattadar Pass Books Act, 1971 (hereinafter referred to as the 'Act'),read with Rule 21 of the Rules, before the 3rd respondent, challenging the proceedings *vide* Roc.No.225/2023/A dated 14.08.2023.

5. Given the facts and circumstances of the case, without going into the merits of the matter, the writ petition is disposed of, at the stage of admission, with the consent of learned counsel appearing on either side, granting liberty to the petitioner to file a statutory appeal in terms of Section 5(4) of the Act, read with Rule 21 of the Rules before the 3rd respondent. Upon filing such an appeal, the learned 3rd respondent shall consider the same and pass appropriate orders strictly in accordance with law. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

**JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI**

Date: 18.11.2026
SNI

**241**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI**

**WRIT PETITION NO: 33336 OF 2026**

Date: 18.11.2026
SNI