APHC010394922026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI **[3521]**
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE 11th DAY OF NOVEMBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 10007/2026

Between:

RUPALI PRAKASH, D/o Dr. RAVI PRAKASH, AGED ABOUT 36 YEARS, RESIDING AT KALA FARM, R/o AT KALA FARM, NEAR RNSIT COLLEGE, UTTARAHALLI KENGERI MAIN ROAD, CHENNASANDRA, SUBRMANYAPURA POST, RAJA RAJESHWARI NAGAR, BENGALURU.

...PETITIONER/ACCUSED

AND

THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP.BY ITS PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESHAT AMARAVATI FOR THE STATE OF ANDRA PRADESH THROUGH S.H.O.MYDUKUR URBAN PS. YSR KADAPA DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner/accused:

G V S KISHORE KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondent/complainant(S):

PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

The Criminal Petition has been filed under Sections 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for brevity 'the BNSS'), seeking to enlarge the Petitioner/Accused No.7 on bail in Crime No.229 of 2026 of Mydukuru Urban Police Station, Kadapa District, registered for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 331(5), 109(1), 61(2) read with 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhitha, 2023 (for brevity 'the BNS').

2. Mr. G.V.S.Kishore Kumar**,** learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that Petitioner is innocent of the alleged offence and has been falsely implicated in the case. She is a law-abiding citizen. She is sole earning member of the family. She has not committed any offence. The Petitioner undertakes to strictly adhere to any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. In light of the foregoing submissions, the learned counsel prays that the present petition be allowed in the interest of justice.

3. *Per contra*, Mr.A.Sai Rohith, the learned Assistant Public Prosecutor, vehemently opposed the grant of pre-arrest bail to the Petitioner, submitting that the investigation is still underway and several material witnesses remain to be examined. It is contended that if the Petitioner is released on pre-arrest bail, there is a strong likelihood that he may abscond, thereby hampering the ongoing investigation and evading the process of law. Hence, it is urged to dismiss the Criminal Petition.

4. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner and the learned Assistant Public Prosecutor. Perused the record.

5. As seen from the record, the petitioner is arrayed as Accused No.7 in this case. This Court, in Crl.P.No.8091 of 2026, vide order dated 30.10.2026, granted pre-arrest bail to Accused No.6, who is the mother of Accused No.7. However, this Court, in Crl.P.No.9154 of 2026, vide order dated 14.10.2026, granted regular bail to Accused No.5, who is the father of Accused No.7. On 07.10.2026, Accused Nos.1 to 4 were also enlarged on regular bail vide Crl.P.No.8586 of 2026.

6. The name of the petitioner/Accused No.7 is not found in the FIR. As mentioned supra, the petitioner is the daughter of Accused Nos.5 and 6, who are residents of Bangalore. The allegation against the petitioner is that, as per the confession statements of Accused Nos.1 to 4, the petitioner, along with Accused Nos.5 and 6, conspired together and engaged Accused Nos.1 to 4 by paying some amount to Accused No.1 to eliminate respondent No.2/de facto complainant in the above-mentioned criminal petitions. The de facto complainant has not sustained any grievous injuries. It is further stated that the dispute arose out of land disputes between the owner of the de facto complainant and the father of the petitioner. The petitioner is a permanent resident of Bangalore. He has got fixed abode.

7. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of the allegations levelled against the Petitioner/Accused No.7, this Court is inclined to enlarge her on pre-arrest bail, subject to the following stringent conditions.

8. In the result, the Criminal Petition is allowed with the following stringent conditions:

i. In the event of the arrest of the Petitioner, the Petitioner/Accused No.7 shall be enlarged on bail by executing a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand Only) with two sureties for the like sum to the satisfaction of the arresting police officials;

ii. The Petitioner/Accused No.7 shall make herself available for investigation as and when required;

iii. The Petitioner/Accused No.7 shall not cause any threat, inducement or promise to the prosecution witnesses.

iv. The Petitioner/Accused No.7 shall appear before the Station House Officer concerned once in a month i.e., on every first Saturday between 10.00 a.m. and 5.00 p.m., till filing of the charge sheet.

v. The Petitioner/Accused No.7 shall not leave the limits of the State of Andhra Pradesh and Karnataka without the express permission from the Station House Officer concerned.

vi. The Petitioner/Accused No.7 shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court.

vii. The Petitioner/Accused No.7 shall surrender her passport, if any, to the Investigating Officer. If she claims that she does not have passport, she shall submit an affidavit to that effect to the Investigating Officer.

vii. The Petitioner/Accused No.7 shall furnish her permanent and temporary address details to the Investigating Officer/Station House Officer concerned.

_____

DR. Y. LAKSHMANA RAO, J

Date: 11.11.2026
VMM

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 10007 of 2026

Date: 11.11.2026

VMM