APHC010587402026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI **[3331]**
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE 4th DAY OF NOVEMBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

WRIT PETITION NO: 32190/2026

Between:

1. SHAIK NAZEER, S/O. KHADAR AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS, OCC. AGRICULTURE PODILI VILLAGE AND MANDAL MARKAPURAM DISTRICT/ PRAKASAM DISTRICT. PIN. 523240

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP.BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, REVENUE DEPARTMENT A.P SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI GUNTUR DISTRICT. -522238
2. THE COMMISSIONER AND INSPECTOR GENERAL OF REGISTRATION AND STAMPS, GOVT. OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REGISTRATION BHAVAN GOLLAPUDI, VIJAYAWADA, KRISHNA DISTRICT. -520001
3. THE DISTRICT REGISTRAR, MARKAPURAM, MARKAPURAM DISTRICT/ PRAKASAM DISTRICT. -523316
4. THE SUBREGISTRAR, PODILI MARKAPURAM DISTRICT/ PRAKASAM DISTRICT- 523240

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate Writ Order or orders, Direction to declare the proceedings of the 2nd Respondent in Draft Memo No.G2/19134/2011 dt.01-12-2011 as illegal, without jurisdiction and Unconstitutional and to issued consequential direction to the 4th respondent to

receive and register the document that may be presented by the petitioners for registration in respect of the House site for an extent of 0-07 cents or 338-8 Sq. Yards in Sy.No.190/2, situated in Podili Grampanchayat, Podili Mandal, Markapuram District/ Prakasam District, without reference to the memo of the 2nd respondent bearing No.G2/19134/2011 dt.01-12-2011

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased direct the 4th Respondent to receive and register the documents that may be presented by the petitioners for registration in respect of the House site for an extent of 0-07 cents or 338-8 Sq. Yards in Sy. No. 190/2 , situated in Podili Grampanchayat, Podili Mandal, Markapuram District/ Prakasam District., without reference to the memo of the 2nd respondent bearing No. G2/19134/2011 dt.01-12-2011 pending disposal of the above writ petition

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. VENKATESWARLU GADA

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR REVENUE
2. GP FOR REGISTRATION AND STAMPS

The Court made the following order:

Heard Sri G.Venkateswarlu, learned counsel for the petitioner, and Sri Divya Teja, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue & Stamps and Registration for respondents 1 to 4.

2. The above writ petition is filed to declare the proceedings of the 2nd respondent in draft Memo No.G2/19134/2011 dated 01.12.2011 as illegal, arbitrary and contrary to the provisions of the Registration Act, 1908.

3. In the affidavit, it was contended, *inter alia*, that the petitioner is the absolute owner of the house admeasuring Ac.0.07 cents in Sy.No.190/2 of Podili Village and Mandal, Markapuram District. The petitioner intended to alienate the property; however, the 4th respondent is not entertaining the document by virtue of the memo impugned in the writ petition.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Assistant Government Pleader for Stamps and Registration would submit that the memo impugned is the subject matter of the order passed in W.P.No.1981 of 2020.

5. A copy of the order of the aforesaid writ petition is filed along with the writ petition.

6. In W.P.No.1981 of 2020, learned Single Judge of this Court concluded that the Memo No.G2/19134/2011 dated 01.12.2011 is contrary to law declared by two Division Bench judgments in ***P. Narasimha Reddy v. Sub-Registrar, Shameerpeta Mandal, Ranga Reddy District***[1] and ***S. Nagi Reddy v. Joint Sub- Registrar, Tirupati***[2]. The impugned memo is also contrary to the Division Bench and another judgment of the learned Single Judge in W.P.No.10721 of 2016 dated 01.04.2016 and W.P.No.34689 of 2012 dated 12.12.2012. Eventually, the Memo was set aside, and the 4th respondent therein was directed to receive and register the document.

7. In view of the judgment, this Writ Petition is disposed of in terms of the judgment held in W.P.No.1981 of 2020 by setting aside the Memo impugned *vide* No.G2/19134/2011 dated 01.12.2011, directing the 4th respondent to receive and process the document submitted by the petitioner subject to complying with the provisions of the Indian Stamp Act, 1899 and the Registration Act, 1908. There shall be no order as to costs.

8. The Registry is directed to annex a copy of the order passed in W.P.No.1981 of 2020 along with this writ petition.

As a sequel, pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

Date: 04.11.2026
SNI

[1] 2005(2) ALT 807
[2] 1999 (4) ALT 556

70

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

WRIT PETITION NO: 32190 OF 2026

Date: 04.11.2026
SNI