APHC010585062026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

[3460]

TUESDAY, THE 3rd DAY OF NOVEMBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE NYAPATHY VIJAY

WRIT PETITION NO: 32051/2026

Between:

1. YERRAGETI VENKATESHWARLU,, S/O. KRISHNA, AGED 42 YRS., RESIDENT OF LAKSHMI BALAJI NAGAR, BRAHMASAGAR RESERVOIR AREA, B. MATAM MANDAL, Y.S.R. KADAPA DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT A P SECRETARIAT VELAGAPUDI AMARAVATHI GUNTUR DISTRICT 522503
2. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR/ CHAIRMAN, DISTRICT SELECTION COMMITTEE YSR KADAPA DISTRICT 516001
3. THE CHIEF ENGINEER PROJECTS, T.G.P. CIRCLE, MAMILLAPALLI, KADAPA, Y.S.R. KADAPA DISTRICT.
4. THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, NTR TELUGU GANGA PROJECT CIRCLE MAMIDIPALLI, KADAPA YSR DISTRICT 516001

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased tomay be pleased to issue any appropriate Writ, order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of WRIT OF MANDAMUS, declaring the action of the respondents herein in not considering the Petitioner's representations dated 08.04.2026 for including the Petitioner's name in place of his maternal uncle's name as substitute for providing employment to the Petitioner in any suitable post under displaced quota as per the guidelines issued under G.O.Ms.No.98 Irrigation, (PROJ.Wing) Department dated 15.04.1986 and consequential clarification issued vide G.O.Ms.No.45, l and CAD Dept, dated 04.07.2012 as highly illegal, arbitrary, discrimination and also in violation of Article 14, 16 and 21 of Constitution of India and consequential the Honble High court may pleased to direct the respondents herein to consider the Petitioners representations dated 08.04.2026 for including the Petitioners name in place of his maternal Uncle's name as substitute for providing employment to the Petitioner as per the above G.0s. and as such

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased may be pleased to direct the Respondents herein to consider the Petitioner's Representation dated 08.04.2026 for including the Petitioner's name in place of his maternal uncle's name in the eligibility list, as substitute for providing employment to the petitioner in any suitable post under displaced quota as per the guidelines issued under G 0 Ms No 98 Irrigation, PROJ Wing Department dated 15.04.1986 and consequential clarification issued vide G 0 Ms No 45, ICAD Dept dated 04.07.2012 and to pass such

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. MANTAPAMPALLE RAMPRASAD

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR SERVICES II

The Court made the following:

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE NYAPATHY VIJAY

W.P.No.32051 of 2026

O R D E R:

1. The present Writ Petition is filed questioning the inaction of the Respondents in not providing employment to the Petitioner in terms of G.O.Ms.No.98, Irrigation (PROJ. WING) Department, dated 15.04.1986, as illegal and arbitrary.

2. The lands belonging to the family of the Petitioner were acquired at Jangamrajupalle Village, B. Matam Mandal, for the purpose of the Brahmamsagar Project in the year 1987. As per G.O.Ms.No.98, Irrigation (PROJ. WING) Department, dated 15.04.1986, employment was to be offered to the members of the displaced families or their dependents. The Petitioner, being the dependent of the original landowners, applied for employment in terms of the said G.O. However, though an enquiry was conducted and a detailed report was submitted, his case was not considered for employment. Hence, this Writ Petition has been filed.

3. Learned counsel for the Petitioner relies on the Judgment of this Court in W.P.No.40372 of 2018 and batch, and

W.P.No.3445 of 2020, wherein employment was directed to be offered to the dependents of the landowners therein, and seeks similar relief in the present case. Learned counsel further submits that the land acquisition took place in 1987 and, despite the lapse of more than 35 years, employment has still not been provided.

4. Learned Assistant Government Pleader submits that case of Petitioner will be considered and necessary action will be initiated for providing employment in terms of G.O.Ms.No.98 dated 15.04.1986, as per the rules in force.

5. Considering the fact that the lands of the Petitioner were acquired way back in 1987, the delay in providing employment cannot be justified; more so, as the employment was to be treated as part of the promised compensation.

6. Accordingly, this Writ Petition is disposed of, directing the Respondents to consider the case of the Petitioner for employment on par with similarly placed persons, as expeditiously as possible. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

_____

NYAPATHY VIJAY, J

Date: 03.11.2026
chs

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE NYAPATHY VIJAY

123

WRIT PETITION NO:32051/2026

Dt. 03.11.2026

chs