APHC010584712026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI **[3504]**
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE 3rd DAY OF NOVEMBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 9850/2026

Between:

1. ALI SHAIK, S/O. MASTHAN SAHEB, REPRESENTED BY THE GPA HOLDER SHAIK ABOOBAKAR, D.NO. 2-7-4, LABOUR COLONY, VIDHYADHARAPURAM, VIJAYAWADA, NTR DISTRICT, PIN 520012.

...PETITIONER/ACCUSED

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REPRESENTED BY ITS PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH, AMARAVATI.
2. NEELISETTI VENKATA SAILAJA, W/O. D.V.S.N. PUNNARAO, AGED ABOUT 47 YEARS, OCC HOUSE WIFE, D.NO. 1-2/24-22, ARAVIND STREET, NEAR BEJAWADA RAJARAO PUBLIC SCHOOL, VIDHYADHARAPURAM, VIJAYAWADA, NTR DISTRICT, PIN 520012.

...RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT(S):

Petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C and 528 of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court quash the proceedings in CC.NO: 774 Of 2024 On The File Of III Addl. Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Vijayawada, in Crime No. 254 of 2020 On The File Governorpet Vijayawada City Police Station U/s 420 IPC against all the petitioner. In these circumstances the petitioner/A.3

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C and 528 of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased dispense from filling certified copy of CC.NO: 774

Of 2024 On The File Of III Addl.Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Vijayawada, in Crime No. 254 of 2020 On The File Governorpet Vijayawada City Police Station U/s 420 IPC pending disposal of the main Criminal Petition

IA NO: 2 OF 2026

Petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C and 528 of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased stay of all further proceedings in CC.NO: 774 Of 2024 On The File Of III Addl.Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Vijayawada, in Crime No. 254 of 2020 On The File Governorpet Vijayawada City Police Station U/s 420 IPC against all the petitioner.In these circumstances the petitioner/A.3 in the interest of Justice

Counsel for the Petitioner/accused:

1. RAJA SEKHAR P

Counsel for the Respondent/complainant(S):

1. PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

The Court made the following Order:

Instant Criminal Petition, under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhitha, 2023, has been filed on behalf of the petitioner herein (A3), seeking to quash the proceedings in C.C.No.774 of 2024 on the file of the III Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Vijayawada, wherein he was charged with the offences punishable under Section 420 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. K.Sandeep, learned Assistant Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of respondent No.1-State.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner herein (A3) is a software employee and is presently residing in London, United Kingdom.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner, after arguing for some time, has confined his argument to the extent of dispensing with the presence of petitioner (A3) before the trial Court by submitting petitioner's practical constraints in attending before the trial Court and states that petitioner shall not dispute his identity at a later stage of proceedings.

5. On the other hand, learned Assistant Public Prosecutor contended that specific accusations have been made as against the petitioner herein and truth or otherwise of the said accusations has to be decided during the course of trial, hence, there are no grounds to quash the proceedings at this stage. So far as dispensing with the presence of the petitioner, before the trial Court, he has not opposed the same.

6. Since there are specific accusations that have been made as against the petitioner herein, which are all disputed questions of fact, the same can be decided during the course of trial, and this Court, in a petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023 corresponding to Section 482 Cr.P.C., would not be in a position to conduct roving enquiry into the disputed questions of fact and quash the proceedings at this stage.

7. In this context, it is apt to note the dictum held in ***State of Uttar Pradesh and Another Vs. Akhil Sharda and Others***[1], by the Apex Court in its vivid terms in the following way:

> *7. Having gone through the impugned judgment and order passed by the High Court by which the High Court has set aside the criminal proceedings in exercise of powers under Section 482 Cr.P.C., it appears that the High Court has virtually conducted a mini trial, which as such is not permissible at this stage and while deciding the application under Section 482 Cr.P.C. As observed and held by this Court in a catena of decisions no mini trial can be conducted by the High Court in exercise of powers under Section 482 Cr.P.C., jurisdiction and at the stage of deciding the application under Section 482 Cr.P.C., the High Court cannot get into appreciation of evidence of the particular case being considered.*

8. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is not inclined to quash the proceedings against the petitioner herein. However, due to ground realities submitted by the counsel for the petitioner, particularly that petitioner herein (A3) is a software employee and is presently residing in

[1]2022 LiveLaw (SC) 594

London, United Kingdom, presence of petitioner herein (A3) before the III Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Vijayawada, in C.C.No.774 of 2024 is hereby dispensed with, except on those dates when the learned trial Court feels that his presence is necessary. In the event of failure of the petitioner to appear, when the trial Court specifically directs, this Order shall stand automatically revoked, without recourse to this Court.

9. Accordingly, the Criminal Petition is disposed of.

10. Needless to state that the petitioner is at liberty to raise all the grounds before the trial Court.

As a sequel, Interlocutory Applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM, J

Date: 03.11.2026
MDP