APHC010582242026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI **[3331]**
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE 4th DAY OF NOVEMBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

WRIT PETITION NO: 32178/2026

Between:

1. BONDA VENKATA RAJA GOPAL, S/O VENKATA RAMANAIH AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS, MARUPURU VILLAGE, PODALAKUR MANDAL, SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT, PINCODE 524345.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, (ASSIGNMENT) DEPARTMENT, A. P. SECRETARIAT BUILDINGS, VELAGAPUDI, GUNTUR DISTRICT, PINCODE 522238.
2. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, NELLORE, SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT. PINCODE 524001.
3. THE TAHSILDAR, PODALAKUR MANDAL, SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT, PINCODE 524345.
4. THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER, PODALAKUR POLICE STATION, PODALAKUR MANDAL, SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT, PINCODE 524345.

5. BIGIMALLA RAMA MURTHY, S/O. NANDA GOPAL AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS, PLOT NO. 501, 5TH FLOOR, CHAMUDESWARI APARTMENTS ,SANTHI NAGAR, NELLORE TOWN, SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT, PINCODE 524003.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ order or direction more in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the high handed action of the 2nd and 3rd respondents more particularly the action of the 5' respondent in not taking any action on the representation of the petitioner dated 05-10 2026 from the land of the petitioner in Sy.No.641-1, 641-2 to an extent of Ac.4.34 (New LP No. 508) cents situated at Marupuru Village, Podalakur Mandal, SPSR Nellore District as highly illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional and violative of Principles of Natural Justice and violative of Article 14, 21 and 300-A of the Constitution of India and violative of the procedure contemplated under the Andhra Pradesh Rights in Land and Pattadar Passbook Act, 1971 and consequently direct the respondents to evict the 5 ' respondent from the encroachment of land in Sy.No.641-1, 641-2 to an extent of Ac.4.34 (New LP No. 508) cents situated at Marupuru Village, Podalakur Mandal, SPSR Nellore District and consider my representation dated 05-10-2026 and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents to evict the 5th respondent from the encroachment of land in Sy.No.641 1, 641-2 to an extent of Ac.4.34 (New LP No. 508) cents situated at Marupuru Village, Podalakur Mandal, SPSR Nellore District and consider my representation dated 05-10-2026 and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. KOPPARTHI SUMATHI

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR HOME
2. GP FOR REVENUE
3.

The Court made the following order:

Heard Sri C.B. Adarsh Kumar, learned counsel representing Smt. Kopparthi Sumathi, learned counsel for the petitioner; Sri Divya Theja, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue, for respondents 1 to 3, Sri Mallikarjun, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home, for respondent No. 4. Notice to respondent No. 5 is dispensed with, as no adverse order affecting his right or interest has been passed.

2. The grievance of the petitioner is that the representation, dated 05.10.2026-Ex.P1 made to respondents 2 and 3 has not been attended to by the authorities.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the then respondent No.3 assigned land to an extent of Ac.4-34 cents in Survey Nos.641-1 and 641-2 (New LP No.508), situated at Marupuru Village, Podalakur Mandal, SPSR Nellore District, to the petitioner. Thereafter, the petitioner’s name was updated in the Record of Rights (RoR). Copies of the passbook and RoR 1-B as well as adangal, are filed as Exs.P2 and P3. Learned counsel would submit that some private individuals are interfering with the land and hence, the petitioner made representations to respondents 2 and 3. However, they have not initiated any action.

4. Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue would submit that the dispute is civil in nature. The petitioner must approach the competent Civil Court to seek redressal of his grievance.

5. A perusal of Exs.P2 and P3 *prima facie* demonstrates assignment of land in favour of the petitioner. If any individual is interfering with the property, as stated by the petitioner in Ex. P1 (representation), the petitioner must have approached the jurisdictional Civil Court seeking redressal. In the opinion of this Court, the representation made to respondents 2 and 3 will not give a cause of action to file the writ petition. The respondents 2 and 3 have nothing to do with the private dispute between the petitioner and other individuals, as alleged by the petitioner.

6. Given the above, this Court does not find any merits in the Writ Petition. Hence, the Writ Petition is dismissed. No costs.

As a sequel, pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

Date: 04.11.2026
IKN