APHC010580372026

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH**
**AT AMARAVATI**
**(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

FRIDAY, THE 30th DAY OF OCTOBER 2026

**PRESENT**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE HARINATH.N**

**WRIT PETITION NO: 31768 OF 2026**

**Between:**

1. ASHOK KUMAR REDDY D EI ED COLLEGE, Obulapurapuram Village, Pittigunta Post, S.A. Kajinayana Mandal, Kadapa District 516193, Andhra Pradesh. Represented by its Correspondent / Authorised Person, Mummidi Amar Nath Reddy.

**...Petitioner**

**AND**

1. THE STATE OF AP, Represented by its Principal Secretary, School Education Department, Secretariat, Velagapudi, Amaravathi, Guntur District 522238.

2. The Commissioner of School Education, Government of Andhra Pradesh, Venkatadri Towers, Opposite DGP Office, Besides Happy Resorts, Atmakur, Mangalagiri, Guntur District, Andhra Pradesh 521456.

3. The State Council for Education Research and Training SCERT, Government of Andhra Pradesh, Venkatadri Towers, Opposite DGP Office, Besides Happy Resorts, Atmakur, Mangalagiri, Guntur District, Andhra Pradesh 521456.

4. The Regional Joint Director, Department of School Education, Kadapa, Kadapa District 516001.

5. The District Educational Officer, School Education Department, Kadapa, Kadapa District, Andhra Pradesh 516004.

6. G Syamala Rao, IAS, Principal Secretary to Government, School Education Department, Secretariat, Velagapudi, Amaravathi, Guntur District 522238.

7. Tamem Hansariya IAS, Commissioner of School Education, Government of Andhra Pradesh, Venkatadri Towers, Opposite DGP Office, Besides Happy Resorts, Atmakur, Mangalagiri, Guntur District, Andhra Pradesh 521456.

8. M Venkata Krishna Reddy, Director, State Council for Education Research and Training (SCERT), Government of Andhra Pradesh, Venkatadri Towers, Opposite DGP Office, Besides Happy Resorts, Atmakur, Mangalagiri, Guntur District, Andhra Pradesh 521456.

**...Respondents**

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased topleased to issue a Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the 1 to 3 Respondents specifically 3rd Respondent impugned proceedings Rc. No.ESE02/1162/2026-SCERT, dated 14-10-2026 as illegal, arbitrary, discrimination and violation of natural Principles of justice and violation of Articles 14, 19 and 21 of the constitution of Bharat and consequently set-aside the impugned proceedings Rc. No.ESE02/1162/2026- SCERT, dated 14-10-2026 issued by the 3rd Respondent, further direct the 3rd respondent to provide opportunity to comply the objections if any by the petitioner and pass

**IA NO: 1 OF 2026**

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased

pleased to to suspend the impugned proceedings Rc. No.ESE02/1162/2026-SCERT, dated 14-10-2026 issued by the 3rd Respondnet, further direct the 3rd respondent to comply the objections by the petitioner within 2 days pending disposal of the present writ petition, and pass

**Counsel for the Petitioner: GUNDALA SIVA PRASADA REDDY**

**Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR HIGHER EDUCATION**

**The Court made the following order:**

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is aggrieved by the impugned order dated 14.10.2026 which is purportedly passed in pursuance of the directions of this Court in W.P.No.21811 of 2026.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that this is the 3rd round of litigation and the petitioner college filed W.P.No.21811 of 2026 and resorted petition along with other writ petitions which were disposed of directing the respondent authorities to consider the representation of the petitioner in accordance with law within a period of one (01) week and the said order was passed on 22.09.2026.

**3.** It is submitted that the petitioner-college is recognized by the SRC-NCTE and thereafter the petitioner sought for affiliation from respondent No.2. However, the impugned order is passed by referring to three points which do not quantify for rejecting the petitioner's representation.

4. It is submitted that the 3rd respondent has referred to G.O.Ms.No.65 dated 12.11.2013 and also referred to the alleged non-submission of salary particulars and attendance records. It also refers to non-furnishing of original certificates of one of the staff member out of sixteen (16) staff members.

5. It is submitted that the respondents ought to have sorted clarification from the petitioner before issuing the impugned proceedings. It is submitted that the college was not functional for the last five years and as such there

could not be an event for producing of salary particulars or attendance registers of the staff. It is also submitted that the G.O.Ms.No.65 is referred to for the first time and do not find place in the proceedings of the 3rd respondent dated 10.10.2026 or the 5th respondent dated 01.10.2026.

6. Learned Assistant Government Pleader requests time to file counter. Considering the submissions, the impugned proceedings can be deserved to be set-aside permitting the petitioner to furnish the explanation for the objections raised in the impugned proceedings dated 14.10.2026 and respondent No.1,2 and 3 shall have to consider the issue that the petitioner college was non functional for the last five (05) years. The respondents concerned shall have to pass a fresh order within one (01) week from the date of receipt of this order. The petitioner shall have to submit the required explanation for the objections raised by the 3rd respondent for rejecting the representation of the petitioner dated 02.07.2026.

7. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is disposed of.

There shall be no order as to costs.

Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed.

**JUSTICE HARINATH.N**

30.10.2026
BAK

**162**

## THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE HARINATH.N

**WRIT PETITION NO: 31768/2026**

30.10.2026
BAK