IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

WP(PIL) NO: 259 of 2026

Patcha Lakhmi Narayana ...Petitioner

Vs.

The Union of India and others ...Respondents

****

CORAM : THE CHIEF JUSTICE LISA GILL
SRI JUSTICE CHALLA GUNARANJAN

DATE : 5th November 2026

Present :

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Bhooma Maharshi Reddy

Advocates for Respondents : Mr. T. Niranjan, learned Deputy Solicitor General of India,

Learned Government Pleader for Revenue.

LISA GILL, CJ.

Petitioner in present writ petition had earlier filed a writ petition by way of Public Interest Litigation, i.e., W.P.(PIL).No.209 of 2026, raising a similar grievance that respondent authorities are laying down a Railway Under Ground Bridge at Railway Gate No.41/Level Crossing No.41 (LC No.41/GSU) in a U-shaped/ U-turn alignment within the limits of Kankanalapalli Village, Sattenapalli Mandal, Palnadu District, which, according to petitioner, would cause immense difficulty to public, stagnation of water and would also create public safety concerns.

2. Said writ petition was disposed of on 16.09.2026, while noting the stand of respondents that certain changes in alignment have already been carried out. It was directed that said changes in alignment be made available to petitioner within a period of one week but in case petitioner still has any

grievance, same be placed before authorities within the next one week and authorities should look into the same and decide it within two weeks thereafter.

3. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that after receiving information about changes in alignment, petitioner submitted another representation dated 03.10.2026, raising a plea that grievance as raised was still not addressed by authorities.

4. It appears that the officials had attended the site and explained all details and such an exercise being undertaken is reflected in representation dated 03.10.2026 itself. Grievance raised in present writ petition is that a speaking order has not been passed by authorities in terms of order dated 16.09.2026.

5. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances, we find that remedy of petitioner lies elsewhere, and not by way of filing a second writ petition in present manner.

6. Writ Petition is, accordingly, dismissed giving liberty to petitioner to avail such remedy as may be available to him in accordance with law. No costs.

Consequently, connected miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.

LISA GILL, CJ

Date: 05.11.2026 **CHALLA GUNARANJAN, J**

Mrv

Whether the order is Speaking/Reasoned : Yes / No
Whether the order is Reportable : Yes / No

88

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL, CHIEF JUSTICE
&
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHALLA GUNARANJAN

W.P(PIL).No.259 of 2026

Dt: 05.11.2026

Mrv