APHC010577432026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI **[3521]**
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE 19th DAY OF NOVEMBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 9698/2026

Between:

BODULURI ABBAI REDDY, S/O SUGGI REDDY,AGED ABOUT 30 YEARS, R/O DABBA VALASA VILLAGE,RAMPACHODVARAM MANDALAM, POLAVARAM DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER/ACCUSED

AND

THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, RAMPACHODAVARAM PS, POLAVARAM DISTRICT.REP. BY ITS PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI.

...RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

Counsel for the Petitioner/accused:

J.V.PHANIDUTH

Counsel for the Respondent/complainant:

PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

The Criminal Petition has been filed under Sections 480 and 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for brevity, 'the BNSS'), seeking to enlarge the petitioner/accused on bail in Crime No.25 of 2026 of Rampachodavaram Police Station, Polavaram District, registered against the Petitioner/Accused for the offence punishable under Sections 85, 103(1), 238 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for brevity 'the BNS').

2. Mr.J.V.Phaniduth, learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that the petitioner is innocent of the alleged offence and has been falsely implicated in the present case. He has not committed any offence. He is a sole earning member of the family. He is a law-abiding citizen. He has got fixed abode. The petitioner undertakes to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court while granting bail. Hence, learned counsel urges that the petition may be allowed.

3. *Per contra*, Mr.A.Sai Rohit, the learned Assistant Public Prosecutor vehemently opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner and submitted that, though the charge sheet has already been filed, the accusations against the petitioner are well founded. Hence, he prayed that the Criminal Petition be dismissed.

4. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Assistant Public Prosecutor. Perused the record.

5. As seen from the record, the petitioner is alleged to have committed uxoricide. The petitioner was arrested on 14.02.2026 and has been in judicial custody for the past 278 days. After a thorough investigation, the charge sheet was filed well within the statutory period of 180 days. On perusal of the averments made in the charge sheet, the allegations levelled against the petitioner appear to be well-founded.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature and gravity of the allegations, and the punishment prescribed for the alleged offence, this Court is not inclined to exercise its discretion to grant bail to the petitioner. Hence, this Court finds no merit in the Criminal Petition, and the same is liable to be dismissed.

7. In the result, the Criminal Petition is dismissed.

As a sequel, Miscellaneous petitions, if any pending, shall stand closed.

_____

DR. Y. LAKSHMANA RAO, J

Date: 19.11.2026
RSI

148

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 9698 of 2026

Date: 19.11.2026
RSI