APHC010576952026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI **[3331]**
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE 29th DAY OF OCTOBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

WRIT PETITION NO: 31509/2026

Between:

1. PAVULURU MOHAN RAO, S/O SUBBARAYUDU, AGED ABOUT 67 YEARS, OCC CULTIVATION, R/O HANUMANTHARAO NAGAR, II TOWN, GUDUR, TIRUPATHI DISTRICT- 524 101.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS SPECIAL CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, REVENUE (LANDS, REGISTRATION AND STAMPS) DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI, GUNTUR DISTRICT - 522 237.
2. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, TIRUPATI DISTRICT, TIRUPATI-517503.
3. THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, GUDUR DIVISION, TIRUPATI DISTRICT-524101.
4. THE TAHSILDAR, GUDUR MANDAL, GUDUR, TIRUPATI DISTRICT-524101.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, Order, or direction more particularly one in the

nature of Writ of Mandamus declare the inaction of Respondent No. 4 in considering my F-line application vide Application No.FSNV012500644958, dt.01-02-2024 and Application No.DER012501568181, dt.30-08-2025 to conduct a survey and fix boundaries for the lands of the Petitioner in Survey No. 1048, measuring an extent of Ac. 22.44 Cents, situated at Vinduru Village, Gudur Mandal, Tirupati District, as illegal, arbitrary, unjust, and in violation of Articles 14, 21, and 300-A of the Constitution of India, as well as Section 9 of the A.P. Survey and Boundaries Act, 1923 and consequently, direct the Respondents to conduct a survey and fix the boundaries of the subject land to an extent of Ac. 6.00 Cent in Sy. No. 1048, Khata No. 506 and Ac.9.94 Cents in Sy. No. 1048, Khata No. 633 of Vinduru Village, Gudru Mandal, Tirupathi District. His wife, Pavuluru Kalavathi, is the absolute owner and possessor of Ac.6.50 Cents in Sy. No. 1048, Khata No.381 in Vinduru Village, Guduru Mandal, Tirupathi District in accordance with Section 9 of the A.P. Survey and Boundaries Act, 1923, within a stipulated timeframe after issuing due notice to all neighbouring landowners and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the Respondents to conduct a survey and fix the boundaries of the subject land to an extent of Ac. 6.00 Cent in Sy. No.1048, Khata No. 506 and Ac.9.94 Cents in Sy. No. 1048, Khata No. 633 of Vinduru Village, Gudru mandal, Tirupathi District. His wife, Pavuluru Kalavathi, is the absolute owner and possessor of Ac.6.50 Cents in Sy. No. 1048, Khata No.381 in Vinduru Village, Guduru Mandal, Tirupathi District in accordance with Section 9 of the A.P. Survey and Boundaries Act, 1923 pending disposal of the main Writ Petition, and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. T D PHANI KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR REGISTRATION AND STAMPS

The Court made the following:

::ORDER::

Heard Sri T.D. Phani Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri Chandra Sekhar, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue, for respondents 1 to 4.

2. The grievance of the petitioner is that respondent No.4 failed to conduct a survey in respect of land to an extent of Ac.22-44 cents, situated in Survey No.1048, at Vinduru Village, Gudur Mandal, Tirupati District, pursuant to F-Line application made by the petitioner vide application Nos.FSNV012500644958 dated 01.02.2024 – Ex.P3.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner, his wife and son purchased the following extents of land:

S.No.	Sale deed No.	Date of Purchase	Extent in Ac. - cents	Survey No.	Vendor Name
1.	601/1998	20.03.1998	2-00	1048/6	Ponnur Seshaiah
2.	1696/1999	30.12.1999	1-44	1048/7	Ponnur Seshaiah
3.	570/1998	21.03.1998	4-00	1048/6	Vallamakonda Jhansi Latha
4.	2448/2001	12.12.2001	8-50	1048/7	Sk. Khajamia
5.	1358/1996	24.04.1996	4-00	1048/5	Guru Raj Seeds Company Pvt. Ltd., through its GPA Holder, Alluru Karunakar Reddy
6.	2446/2001	12.12.2001	2-50	1048/5	Sk. Md. Rafi

4. Subsequent to the purchase, the Record of Rights was updated. The petitioner filed an application to conduct survey and fix boundaries. However, the same was not acted upon.

5. Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue would submit that the Tahsildar issued an endorsement on 08.09.2025.

6. A copy of the endorsement is handed over to the learned counsel for the petitioner, across the bar.

7. In view of the proceedings issued by the Tahsildar, the grievance of the petitioner vis-à-vis was redressed vide endorsement dated 08.09.2025. Hence, the relief sought by the petitioner is misconceived, and no further adjudication is required in this writ petition.

8. At this juncture, learned counsel for the petitioner sought leave to challenge the endorsement, dated 08.09.2025, issued by the Tahsildar, before the appropriate forum.

9. Given the above facts and circumstances of the case, the Writ Petition is disposed of at the admission stage with the consent of learned counsel on either side, giving liberty to the petitioner to challenge the endorsement, if the petitioner is aggrieved. No costs.

As a sequel, pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

Date: 29.10.2026

IKN