APHC010576802026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI **[3521]**
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE 5th DAY OF NOVEMBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 9685/2026

Between:

1. NAKKA SATYANARYANA, S/O. NAKKA RAJULU,AGED 56 YEARS, OCCCULTIVATIONR/O.D.NO.7, BC COLONY,MANDAPAM VILLAGE,SANKHAVARAM MANDAL,KAKINADA DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH - 533406.

...PETITIONER/ACCUSED No.4

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, Through Station House Officer,Annavaram Police Station, Kakinada District Rep. by its Public Prosecutor,High Court of Andhra Pradeshat Amaravati.

...RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

Counsel for the Petitioner/accused:

1. CHITTETI VENKATA SIVA

Counsel for the Respondent/complainant:

1. PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

The Court made the following:
ORDER:

Criminal Petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for brevity 'the BNSS') by the Petitioner/Accused No.4 for granting of pre-arrest bail in connection with Crime No.203 of 2026, dated 17.07.2026 of Annavaram Police Station,

Kakinada District, was registered for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 118(1), 351(2) read with 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for brevity, 'the BNS').

2. Mr. Chitteti Venkata Siva, the learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Petitioner is the innocent of the alleged offence. He is a law-abiding citizen. He has not committed any offence. He has been falsely implicated by the police. The Petitioner undertakes to strictly adhere to any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. In light of the foregoing submissions, learned counsel prays that the present petition be allowed in the interest of justice.

3. *Per contra*, Mr.A.Sai Rohith, the learned Assistant Public Prosecutor vehemently opposed the grant of pre-arrest bail to the Petitioner, submitting that the investigation is still underway and several material witnesses remain to be examined. It is contended that if the Petitioner is released on pre-arrest bail, there is a strong likelihood that he may abscond, thereby hampering the ongoing investigation and evading the process of law. Hence, it is urged that the petition be dismissed.

4. Heard the learned counsel for the Petitioner and the learned Assistant Public Prosecutor. Perused the record.

5. On perusal of the record, specific overt acts are attributed only against Accused No.1. The de-facto complainant lodged the report on 17.07.2026, i.e., nearly 16 days after the alleged occurrence dated 01.07.2026. As per the averments in the complaint, Accused No.1 allegedly beat the de-facto complainant with a stone and caused grievous injuries. This Court, in

Crl.P.No.6758 of 2026, *vide* order dated 19.08.2026, partly allowed the Criminal Petition by granting pre-arrest bail to Accused Nos.2, 3 and 5 to 7, while dismissing the petition insofar as Accused No.1 is concerned. The Petitioner/Accused No.4 is also standing on a similar footing as the other accused who were granted pre-arrest bail.

6. Having regard to the entire facts and circumstances of the case, the gravity and nature of the allegations levelled against the Petitioner/Accused No.4, this Court deems it fit to grant pre-arrest bail to the Petitioner/Accused No.4 with the following conditions:

7. In the result, the Criminal Petition is allowed with the following conditions:

> i. In the event of his arrest, Petitioner/Accused No.4 shall be enlarged on bail subject to his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only) with two sureties for the like sum each to the satisfaction of the arresting police officials;
> ii. The Petitioner/Accused No.4 shall make himself available for investigation as and when required;
> iii. The Petitioner/Accused No.4 shall not cause any threat, inducement or promise to the prosecution witnesses.
> iv. The Petitioner/Accused No.4 shall appear before the Station House Officer concerned once in a week i.e., on every Saturday between 10.00 a.m. and 5.00 p.m., till filing of the charge sheet.
> v. The Petitioner/Accused No.4 shall not leave the limits of the State of Andhra Pradesh without the express permission from the Station House Officer concerned.
> vi. The Petitioner/Accused No.4 shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court.

vii. The Petitioner/Accused No.4 shall surrender his passport, if any, to the Investigating Officer. If he claims that he does not have passport, he shall submit an affidavit to that effect to the Investigating Officer.

viii. The Petitioner/Accused No.4 shall not indulge in any offence of a similar nature while on anticipatory bail. In case of any violation of this condition or misuse of the liberty granted, it is open to the prosecution to file a petition for cancellation of anticipatory bail in accordance with law.

_____

DR. Y. LAKSHMANA RAO, J

Date: 05.11.2026
PRA

HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 9685 of 2026

Date: 05.11.2026
PRA