APHC010576612025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI **[3396]**
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE 6th DAY OF NOVEMBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

WRIT PETITION NO: 29735/2025

Between:

1. AKKINENI SATYA VARA PRASAD, S/O. AKKINENI BHASKARA RAO AGED ABOUT 60 YEARS, OCC. BUSINESS, R/O. 17/303, SIDIMBI AGRAHARAM, GROUD FLOOR F-1, ZILLA COURT CENTRE, MACHILIPATNAM, KRISHNA DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, AGRICULTURE AND MARKETING DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI, KRISHNA DISTRICT.
2. THE JOINT COLLECTOR, MACHILIPATNAM, KRISHNA DISTRICT
3. THE ASSISTANT DIRECTOR OF MARKETING, VIJAYAWADA, KRISHNA DISTRICT
4. THE ESTATE OFFICER, RYTHU BAZAAR, MACHILIPATNAM, KRISHNA DISTRICT., ANDHRA PRADESH.
5. NAFED VIJAYAWADA, REP BY THE BRANCH MANAGER, 48-19-4B, NAGARJUNA NAGAR, SRI RAMACHANDRA NAGAR, VIJAYAWADA, ANDHRA PRADESH 520 008. R5 IS IMPLEADED AS PER THE COURT'S ORDER DT.10.12.2025 IN I.A.NO.02 OF 2025

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or direction more particularly one in the nature

of WRIT OF MANDAMUS declaring actions of Respondent No.4, in compelling the petitioner to vacate Stall No. 106, Rythu Bazaar, Machilipatnam, Krishna District, as illegal, arbitrary, violative of the principles of natural justice, and consequently direct the respondents not to interfere with or dispossess the petitioner from the said stall, and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents not to insist or compel the petitioner to vacate Stall No. 106, Rythu Bazaar, Machilipatnam, and to permit him to continue his lawful business therein, pending disposal of the above Writ Petition, in the interest of justice and pass

IA NO: 2 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased pleased to implead the proposed party as 5th Respondent in W.P. No. 29735 of 2025 as necessary party in the main Writ Petition and pass

IA NO: 3 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased may be pleased to extend the interim stay order dated 03.11.2025 in WP.NO. No. 29735 of 2025 to until further orders pending disposal of the above Writ Petition and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased May be pleased to vacate the interim order dated 03.11.2025 in WP. No 29735 of 2025 and dismiss the writ petition and pass

IA NO: 2 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased May be pleased to extend the interim stay order dated 03.11.2025 in I.A.NO. 1 of 2025 IN W.P. No. 29735 of 2025 until further orders are passed, pending disposal of the Writ Petition and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. K V ADITYA CHOWDARY

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR AGRICULTURE

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

WRIT PETITION NO: 29735/2025

ORAL ORDER:-

The Writ Petition is filed questioning the actions of the respondent No.4, in compelling the petitioner to vacate Stall No.106, Rythu Bazaar, Machilipatnam, Krishna District.

2. Heard Sri K.V.Aditya Chowdary, learned counsel for the petitioner and Ms.M.Sandhya Deepthi,learned Assistant Government Pleader for Agriculture for the respondents.

3. Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Agriculture clarified that even by the date of filing of the present petition the subject shop was allotted to one Self Help Group. The petitioner is not in possession of the subject shop No.106

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that in the light of the submission of learned Assistant Government Pleader for Agriculture, the petition may be deposed of, giving liberty to the petitioner to work out their remedies according to law.

4. In the light of the submissions made and on perusal of the material on record, since it is a case where, by the time of filing of the present petition, the subject shop was allotted to somebody else and in addition to that, the petitioner has no direct contract with the Government, the petitioner is claiming their right being franchise to the NAFED who entered contract with

the Government and no cause of action survives even by the date of filing of the present Writ Petition.

5. In that view of the matter, the Writ Petition is disposed of, leaving it open to the petitioner to workout their remedies according to law. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed. Interim orders, if any, deemed to have been vacated.

Dr. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

Date: 06.11.2026.
KSK/UPS

21

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

WRIT PETITION NO: 29735/2025

Dt.06.11.2026

KSK/UPS