APHC010573172026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI **[3329]**
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE 3rd DAY OF NOVEMBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO: 3080/2026

Between:

1. SANDHANI SHAIK, S/O. SHAIK MOULALI,AGED ABOUT 47 YEARS, OCC BUSINESS,R/O. D.NO.2-29, OPPOSITE GOVERNMENT JUNIOR COLLEGE,CHIMAKURTHY VILLAGE AND MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT -523 226.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. M/S MARGADARSI CHIT FUND P LTD, SECUNDERABAD,REPRESENTED BY ITS PRINCIPAL OFFICER AND GENERAL MANAGER,SRI A. SURYANARAYANA RAJU, S/O. A. BANGAR RAJU,AGED ABOUT 62 YEARS. FLAT NO.409, GAYATHRI TOWERS,STREET NO.L, TARNAKA, SECUNDERABAD - 500 017.
2. K VENKATA RAO, S/O. K.SURYA RAO,AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS, OCC CONTRACTOR,PLOT NO.432, 1ST FLOOR, VASANTH NAGAR, KUKATPALLY, HYDERABAD.
3. SHAIK MOMIN, S/O. SHAIK PEDA MEERAVALI,AGED ABOUT 36 YEARS, OCC TRANSPORT AGENT,R/O. D.NO.2-23, OPPOSITE GOVERNMENT JUNIOR COLLEGE,CHIMAKURTHY, PRAKASAM DISTRICT.
4. V KALYANI, W/O. V.SANTHAN KUMAR,AGED ABOUT 36 YEARS, OCC BUSINESS,R/O. D.NO.7-241, H/O. N.HANUMANTHA RAO,5TH LANE, SUJATHA NAGAR, ONGOLE, PRAKASAM DISTRICT.
5. M SRINIVASA RAO, S/O. M.KRISHNA MURTHY,AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS, OCC BUSINESS,R/O. FLAT NO.306, SRI SAI TOWERS, SOUTH BAZAR,NEAR SBI MAIN BRANCH, ONGOLE, PRAKASAM DISTRICT.
6. SHAIK MEERAVALI DIED, SHAIK MEERAVALI DIED
7. SYED SHAJAHAN, W/O. SYED SHARIF,AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS, R/O. ISLAMPET,ONGOLE POST AND MANDAL, PRAKASAM

DISTRICT.

8. SHAIK MANSOOR, S/O. SHAIK MOULALI,AGED ABOUT 36 YEARS, R/O. D.NO.2-29,OPPOSITE GOVERNMENT JUNIOR COLLEGE,CHIMAKURTHY POST AND MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT.
9. SHAIK BEGUM DIED, SHAIK BEGUM DIED

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India,praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed herein,the High Court may be pleased toto allow this Civil Revision Petition and set aside the Order dated 30.06.2026 passed in E.P.No.170 of 2016 in O.S.No.472 of 2011 on the file of the Court of the Principal Civil Judge Senior Division, Ongole, Prakasam District,

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased dispense with the Certified Copy of the order dated 30.06.2026, passed in E.P.No.170 of 2016 in O.S.No.472 of 2011, on the file of the Principal Civil Judge (Senior Division), Ongole, Prakasam District

IA NO: 2 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased condone the delay of 27 days in filing the above C.R.P. filed against the E.P.No.170 of 2016 in O.S.N0.472 of 2011, dated 30.06.2026 on the file of Principal Civil Judge (Senior Division), Ongole, Prakasam District

IA NO: 3 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased stay of all further proceedings in pursuance of the Order dated 30.06.2026 passed in E.P.No.170 of 2016 in O.S.No.472 of 2011 on the file of the Court of the Principal Civil Judge (Senior Division), Ongole, Prakasam District,

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. KETHVATHU PARVATHI BAI

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

CIVIL REVISION PETITION No.3080 OF 2026

ORDER:-

This Civil Revision Petition is filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, challenging the order dated 30.06.2026 in E.P.No.170 of 2016 in O.S.No.472 of 2011 by the Principal Civil Judge (Senior Division), Ongole, Prakasam District.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, subsequent to the filing of the present Civil Revision Petition, the Execution Petition itself came to be dismissed for non-payment of batta. He further seeks liberty to the petitioner to challenge the order impugned in the present Civil Revision Petition, in the event of restoration of the Execution Petition.

3. In view of the dismissal of the Execution Petition, the challenge in the present Civil Revision Petition has become infructuous, as the order under challenge has ceased to have any legal consequence and no cause survives for further adjudication.

4. Accordingly, the Civil Revision Petition is closed at liberty to the petitioner to challenge the order passed in the Execution Petition, in the event of its restoration. There shall be no order as to costs.

5. Consequently, miscellaneous applications pending, if any, shall also stand dismissed. No costs.

_____

JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

Date: 03-11-2026
BSM

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

Civil Revision Petition No.3080 OF 2026

Date: 03-11-2026

W

BSM