APHC010570102026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI **[3331]**
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE 27th DAY OF OCTOBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

WRIT PETITION NO: 31162/2026

Between:

1. N MARKONDA REDDY, S/O. CHINNAMA REDDY, AGED ABOUT 54 YEARS, RIO. SAVIREDDYPALLE, VEPANJERI PANCHAYAT, GANGADHARA NELLORE, CHITTOOR DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REPRESENTED BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, REVENUE DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, VELGAPUDI, GUNTUR DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH - 522238. 2
2. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, CHITTOOR, CHITTOOR DISTRICT-517002
3. THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, CHITTOOR, CHITTOOR DISTRICT 517002
4. THE TAHSILDAR, GANGADHARA NELLORE, CHITTOOR DISTRICT 517125
5. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, GD NELLORE POLICE STATION, CHITTOOR DISTRICT.
6. C SUBRAMANYAM REDDY, S/O LATE YERRAM REDDY, R/O. SAVIREDDYPALLE, VEPANJERI PANCHAYAT, GANGADHARA NELLORE CHITTOOR DISTRICT 517125

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or Direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus challenging the proceedings of the 4th Respondent issued to the 5th Respondent in Roc.A/159/2026 dated 18.07.2026 wherein stating that to bind over and not to indulge any clashes at the fields, not to interfere into the possession of the lands if any by the Writ Petitioner until final orders in W.P.No.13715 of 2026 dated 07.05.2026 or further orders in order to obey the interim orders as illegal, improper, unjust, arbitrary and violative of principles of natural justice and consequently set aside the same by directing the Respondents No.3 to 6 not to interfere with Petitioners peaceful possession of the land in Sy.No.62/3 to an extent of Ac.2-15 cents, Sy.No.638/7 to an extent of Ac.0-59 cents, Sy.No.94/A1B to an extent of Ac.1-48 cents of Vapanjiri Village, Gangadhar Nellore Mandal, Chittoor District and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased direct the Respondents No.3 to 6 not to interfere with Petitioner's peaceful possession of the land in Sy.No.62/3 to an extent of Ac.2-15 cents, Sy.No.638/7 to an extent of Ac.0-59 cents, Sy.No.94/A1B to an extent of Ac.1-48 cents of Vapanjiri Village, Gangadhar Nellore Mandal, Chittoor District, forthwith, pending disposal of the above Writ Petition and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. Y MAHA LAKSHMI

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR HOME
2. GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following order:

Heard Smt. Y. Maha Lakshmi, learned counsel for the petitioner; Sri Chandra Sekhar, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue, for

respondents 1 to 4; and Sri Mallikarjuna Rao, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home, for respondent No. 5.

2. Notice to respondent No.6 is dispensed with since no adverse order affecting his right or interest is passed.

3. Assailing the communication made by respondent No.4 to respondent No.5 vide Roc.A/159/2026, dated 18.07.2026-Ex.P1, the above writ petition was filed.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that earlier, the petitioner filed W.P.No.13715 of 2026, against the respondents herein complaining of their interference with land to an extent of Ac.2-15 cents, Ac.0-59 cents and Ac.1-48 cents in Survey Nos.62/3, 638/7, 94/A1B respectively, Vapanjiri Village, Gangadhar Nellore Mandal, Chittoor District. In the said writ petition, this Court granted an order of status quo till the next hearing, which subsists as of now. Learned counsel further submitted that, despite the order of status quo, respondent No.4 addressed a letter to respondent No.5 vide Ex.P1 and thereby prevented the petitioner from entering the land referred to supra.

5. Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue, on instructions of respondent No.4, would submit that through the letter-Ex.P1, respondent No.4 intimated respondent No.5 not to interfere with the aforementioned land and further requested respondent No.5 to initiate bind over proceedings against the parties, in compliance with the interim order dated 07.05.2026, passed in W.P.No.13715 of 2025.

6. The instructions furnished by respondent No.4 are made part of the record.

7. A copy of the instructions is handed over to the learned counsel for the petitioner, across the bar.

8. Given the instructions furnished by respondent No.4 as well as the facts and circumstances of the case, this writ petition is disposed of at the admission stage with the consent of learned counsel on either side, directing respondents 4 and 5 to adhere to the order of status quo, dated 07.05.2026 in W.P.No.13715 of 2026, in respect of the subject property. No costs.

As a sequel, pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

Date: 27.10.2026
IKN