APHC010568592026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE 30th DAY OF OCTOBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE KIRANMAYEE MANDAVA

WRIT PETITION NO: 30951 OF 2026

Between:

1. Y S AVINASH REDDY, S/o. Bhaskar Reddy Aged about 40 years, Occ Member of Parliament Rio. D.No.3-9-76, Pulivendula, Y.S.R. Kadapa District.

...Petitioner

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, represented by its Principal Secretary, Home Department, Secretariat Buildings, Velagapudi, Amaravathi, Guntur District. 52

2. The State Election Commission, Vijayawada, NTR District., rep by its State Election Commissioner., New HOD'S Building, Opp. Indira Gandhi Municiapal Stadium, M.G Road, Vijayawada. Pulivendula Municipality, YSR Kadapa District

3. The Director General of Police, Andhra Pradesh, Mangalagiri, Guntur District.

4. The District Collector and District Election Officer, YSR Kadapa District, Kadapa. s-i6001

5. The Superintendent of Police, YSR Kadapa District, Kadapa,

6. Sri Murali Naik, Deputy Superintendent of Police and Sub-Divisional Police Officer, Pulivendula Sub-Division, YSR Kadapa District

7. The Returning Officer/Election Officer, Pulivendula Municipality, YSR Kadapa District

...Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or direction more particularly in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the inaction on the part of respondent No.2, in taking effective and immediate measures to ensure free, fair, impartial and peaceful conduct of the ongoing local body elections in the Pulivendula SubDivision, notwithstanding the serious allegations of partisan conduct, obstruction of candidates from filing nominations, use of force against candidates and political workers, and subsequent registration of criminal cases and arrests and consequential action of the respondent No.2 in continuation of respondent No.6, as in charge of election-related law and order and police bandobust, despite the specific allegations made against him, as arbitrary, illegal, contrary to the well established legal principles apart from violation of the fundamental rights guaranteed to me under Article 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondent No.2 to 5 relieve the respondent No.6 from all the duties connected with the Pulivendula Municipal, ZPTC and MPTC elections, until the completion of the entire election process

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased direct the respondent No.2 to consider the representation, dated 18.10.2026

Counsel for the Petitioner: V R REDDY KOVVURI

Counsel for the Respondents: N ASHWANI KUMAR Counsel for the Respondents:

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE KIRANMAYEE MANDAVA

WRIT PETITION NO: 30951 OF 2026

The Court made the following Order:

Heard Sri V. R. Reddy Kovvuri, learned counsel for the petitioner, learned Government Pleader for Home and Sri N. Ashwani Kumar, learned Standing Counsel for the respondent - APSEC.

2. The grievance of the petitioner is against the inaction of the respondent No.2 in taking effective and immediate measures to ensure free, fair, impartial and peaceful conduct of ongoing local body elections in the Pulivendula Sub-Division, notwithstanding the serious allegations of partisan conduct, obstruction of candidates from filing nominations, use of force against the candidates and political workers and subsequent registration of criminal cases and arrests and the respondent No.2 in not relieving the respondent No.6 from the duties connected with Pulivendula ZPTC and MPTC elections. Consequently the petitioner sought for a direction to the respondent Nos.2 to 5 to relieve the respondent No.6 from the duties connected with the Pulivendula Municipal, ZPTC and MPTC elections until the completion of the entire election process.

3. In the said regard, it appears that the petitioner has already filed a representation dated 18-10-2026 against the respondent No.6. The said representation was considered by the state Election Commissions and orders was passed on 22-10-2026, after calling for a report from the Director General of Police, Andhra Pradesh. Based on the said representation, the respondent

No.2 passed the order on 22-10-2026 rejecting the claim of the petitioner. On the very same day, the instant writ petition was filed.

4. In view of the foregoing, since, the respondent No.2 had already acted upon the representation of the petitioner and passed an order on 22-10-2026, it is for the petitioner, if he is still aggrieved by the said order, to challenge the same in accordance with law.

5. In view of the above, the Writ Petition is accordingly disposed of, as the matter requires no further adjudication. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, all pending miscellaneous applications shall stand closed.

JUSTICE KIRANMAYEE MANDAVA

Dated: 30-10-2026
MSI

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE KIRANMAYEE MANDAVA

WRIT PETITION NO: 30951 OF 2026

Dated: 30-10-2026
MSI