APHC010566342026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI **[3333]**
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE 30th DAY OF OCTOBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE V.SUJATHA

CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO: 3066/2026

Between:

1. SAGI APPALANARASIMHA RAJU, S/O KRISHNAMURTHY RAJU SAGI, AGED ABOUT 57 YEARSR/O KANCHQRU VILLAGE, BHOGAPURAM MANDAL VIZIANAGARAM DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH, 535216

...PETITIONER

AND

1. DALLI KANAKAM, W/O.LATE RAMAKRISHNA, AGED ABOUT 56 YEARS, HINDU,HOUSE-HOLD DUTIES, RESIDING AT DIBBALAPALEM VILLAGE, HAMLET OF CHEPALAKANCHERU VILLAGE, BHOGAPURAM MANDAL, VIZIANAGARAM DISTRICT.
2. DALLI NARISAMMA, S/O.RAMAKRISHNA, AGED ABOUT 42 YEARS, HINDU,CULTIVATION, RESIDING AT RESIDING AT DIBBALAPALEM VILLAGE, HAMLET OF CHEPALA KANCHERU VILLAGE, BHOGAPURAM MANDAL, VIZIANAGARAM DISTRICT.
3. DALLI GOWRI, W/0.NARISAMMA, AGED ABOUT 36 YEARS, HINDU, HOUSEHOLD DUTIES, RESIDING AT DIBBALAPALEM VILLAGE, HAMLET OF CHEPALAKANCHERU VILLAGE, BHOGAPURAM MANDAL, VIZIANAGARAM DISTRICT.
4. DALLI SIVAKRISHNA, S/O.RAMAKRISHNA, AGED ABOUT 37 YEARS, HINDU,CULTIVATION, RESIDING AT DIBBALAPALEM VILLAGE, HAMLET OF CHEPALAKANCHERU VILLAGE, BHOGAPURAM MANDAL, VIZIANAGARAM DISTRICT.
5. DALLI CHITTITALLI, W/O.SIVAKRISHNA, AGED ABOUT 30 YEARS,

HINDU, HOUSEHOLD DUTIES, RESIDING AT DIBBALAPALEM VILLAGE, HAMLET OF CHEPALA KANCHERU VILLAGE, BHOGAPURAM MANDAL, VIZIANAGARAM DISTRICT.

6. DALLI HARIKRISHNA, S/O.RAMAKRISHNA, AGED ABOUT 35 YEARS, HINDU CULTIVATION, RESIDING AT DIBBALAPALEM VILLAGE, HAMLET OF CHEPALA KANCHERU VILLAGE, BHOGAPURAM MANDAL, VIZIANAGARAM DISTRICT.

7. KALAPAREDDI SATYAVATHI, W/O.LATE LAXMAN, AGED ABOUT 62 YEARS, HINDU, HOUSE-HOLD DUTIES, RESIDING AT DIBBALAPALEM VILLAGE, HAMLET OF CHEPALA KANCHERU VILLAGE, BHOGAPURAM MANDAL, VIZIANAGARAM DISTRICT.

8. KALAPAREDDI SATYANARAYANA, S/O.LATE LAXMAN, AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS, HINDU, CULTIVATION, RESIDING AT DIBBALAPALEM VILLAGE, HAMLET OF CHEPALA KANCHERU VILLAGE, BHOGAPURAM MANDAL, VIZIANAGARAM DISTRICT.

9. DALLI APPALAREDDI, S/O.LATE NARISAMMA, AGED ABOUT 60 YEARS, HINDUCULTIVATION, RESIDING AT DIBBALAPALEM VILLAGE, HAMLET OF CHEPALAKANCHERU VILLAGE, BHOGAPURAM MANDAL, VIZIANAGARAM DISTRICT.

10. DALLI KANAKADURGA, W/O.APPALAREDDI, AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS, HINDU,HOUSE-HOLD DUTIES, RESIDING AT DIBBALAPALEM VILLAGE, HAMLET OF CHEPALAKANCHERU VILLAGE, BHOGAPURAM MANDAL, VIZIANAGARAM DISTRICT.

11. DALLI JAGADEESH, S/O.APPALAREDDI, AGED ABOUT 33 YEARS, HINDUCULTIVATION, RESIDING AT DIBBALAPALEM VILLAGE, HAMLET OF CHEPALAKANCHERU VILLAGE, BHOGAPURAM MANDAL, VIZIANAGARAM DISTRICT.

12. DALLI BHASKAR, S/O.APPALAREDDI, AGED ABOUT 30 YEARS, HINDU,CULTIVATION, RESIDING AT DIBBALAPALEM VILLAGE, HAMLET OF CHEPALAKANCHERU VILLAGE, BHOGAPURAM MANDAL, VIZIANAGARAM DISTRICT

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India,praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed herein,the High Court may be

pleased toIt is therefore most respectfully prayed that this Honble Court may be pleased to direct the Court of the Principal Senior Civil JudgeVizianagaram to dispose of I.A.No/334/2026 in OS.No.72/2026 on the file of the Court of the Principal Senior Civil Judge, Vizianagaram expeditiously and within a time-bound period as stipulated by this HonbleCourt, and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. N BHARATH SIMHA REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

The petitioner/plaintiff has filed the present Civil Revision Petition seeking a direction to the Court of the Principal Senior Civil Judge, Vizianagaram, to dispose of I.A.No.334 of 2026 in O.S.No.72 of 2026, pending before it, as expeditiously as possible.

2. The petitioner/plaintiff filed O.S.No.72 of 2026 before the Court of the Principal Senior Civil Judge, Vizianagaram, seeking a decree of permanent injunction restraining the respondents/defendants from interfering with his peaceful possession and enjoyment of the plaint schedule property. Along with the suit, the petitioner/plaintiff filed I.A.No.334 of 2026 under Order XXXIX Rule (1) and Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure seeking an ad-interim injunction restraining the respondents/defendants, their men, servants and agents from in any manner interfering with his peaceful possession and enjoyment of the plaint schedule property, pending disposal of the main suit.

3. The respondents/defendants filed their counter-affidavit on 11.08.2026 in I.A.No.334 of 2026. The matter was heard on 25.08.2026 and was reserved for orders, with a direction to list the same on 12.10.2026. Thereafter, the matter was adjourned to 10.11.2026.

4. The grievance of the petitioner/plaintiff is that, while the said application is pending, the respondents/defendants are attempting to interfere with his peaceful possession and enjoyment of the subject property. Hence, he seeks

a direction to the Court below to dispose of I.A.No.334 of 2026 in O.S.No.72 of 2026 expeditiously.

5. A perusal of the record would show that the petitioner/plaintiff filed I.A.No.334 of 2026 along with the suit on 15.06.2026, seeking an ad-interim injunction under Order XXXIX Rule (1) and Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure, restraining the respondents/defendants and their men, servants and agents from interfering with his peaceful possession and enjoyment of the plaint schedule property, pending disposal of the suit. The respondents/defendants filed their counter-affidavit on 11.08.2026. Thereafter, the matter was heard on 25.08.2026 and reserved for orders. However, no orders have been passed on the said application till date.

6. Undisputedly, I.A.No.334 of 2026 in O.S.No.72 of 2026 was filed by the petitioner/plaintiff under Order XXXIX Rule (1) and Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure seeking ad-interim injunction, on 15.06.2026. Though four months has elapsed, the Court below has not passed any order in the said interlocutory application filed by the petitioner/plaintiff. It is an admitted fact that because of pendency of several such applications before the Courts, it may seem difficult for the Courts below to finalize them within appropriate time, however, such applications seeking ad-interim injunction, as in the present case, shall be considered as expeditiously as possible. As and when an application under Order XXXIX Rule (1) and Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure is filed, the Court is bound to hear the same and pass a

reasoned order within a reasonable period, else, the petitioner/plaintiff would put to irreparable loss.

7. In the circumstances, without going into the merit or otherwise of the application filed by the petitioner/plaintiff and considering the fact that the application filed by the petitioner is pending consideration since June, 2026, the learned Principal Senior Civil Judge, Vizianagaram is directed to dispose of the I.A.No.334 of 2026 filed by the petitioner under Order XXXIX Rule (1) and Section 151 of Code of Civil Procedure in O.S.No.72 of 2026, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably on or before 10.11.2026.

8. Accordingly, with the above direction, the Civil Revision Petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous applications, pending, if any, shall also stand closed.

_____

JUSTICE V.SUJATHA

Date: 30.10.2026
KGR