APHC010565832026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI **[3521]**
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE 13th DAY OF NOVEMBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 9459/2026

Between:

SALADI RAMGOPAL ALIAS RAJEEV REDDY SUBRAHMANYAM GOPAL RAMGOPAL NAIDU SUNKARA SIVARAMAKRISHNA GO, S/O NAGESWA RAO, 57 YEARS, KAPU, D.NO. 1 14 -2-6/BOLD DAIRY FORM CENTRE, HANUMAN JUNCTION, VISHAKHAPATNAM DISTRICT.NOW AT BESIDE CHAITHANYA PUBLIC SCHOOL BALAJI HOSPITAL LANE.BANK CENTRE, BHAVANIPURAM, VIJAYAWADA

...PETITIONER/ACCUSED

AND

THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR DISTRICT,522239.

...RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

Counsel for the Petitioner/accused:

MANTAPAMPALLE RAMPRASAD

Counsel for the Respondent/complainant:

PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

The Criminal Petition has been filed under Sections 480 and 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for brevity, 'the BNSS'), seeking to enlarge the petitioner/accused on bail in C.C.No.723 of 2019 on the file of the learned Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Vijayawada in Crime No.447 of 2018 of Bhavanipuram Police Station, Vijayawada City, registered against the Petitioner/Accused for the offence punishable under Section 420 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for brevity 'the IPC').

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Assistant Public Prosecutor. Perused the record.

3. As seen from the record, the petitioner has not cooperated with the learned Trial Court. Therefore, the learned Trial Court was constrained to issue a Non-Bailable Warrant (NBW) against the petitioner on 17.06.2025. The NBW was executed on 08.09.2026, and the petitioner has been in judicial custody for the past 66 days. Now, the prosecution witnesses are being examined before the learned Trial Court. If the petitioner is enlarged on bail, there is a likelihood of delay in the trial.

4. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature and gravity of the allegations levelled against the petitioner/Accused, the request for enlarging the petitioner on bail is not found convincing and

reasonable. Hence, this Court finds no merit in the Criminal Petition, and the same is liable to be dismissed.

5. In the result, the Criminal Petition is dismissed.

As a sequel, Miscellaneous petitions, if any pending, shall stand closed.

_____

DR. Y. LAKSHMANA RAO, J

Date: 13.11.2026
RSI

33

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 9459 of 2026

Date: 13.11.2026
RSI