APHC010564772026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI **[3396]**
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE 29th DAY OF OCTOBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

WRIT PETITION No: 31328/2026

Between:

M/S.JAISURYA FFPO, REP. BY ITS DIRECTOR, KANNA SRIHARI S/O MAMIDAIAH, AGED ABOUT 39 YEARS, BAPATLA, BAPATLA DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP.BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, FOREST DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIATE, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATI, GUNTUR DISTRICT. 522237
2. THE CHIEF CONSERVATOR, FOREST DEPARTMENT STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, ARANYA BHAVAN MANGALAGIRI, GUNTUR DISTRICT 522503
3. THE DISTRICT FOREST OFFICER, BAPATLA, BAPATIA DISTRICT. 522101
4. FOREST RANGE OFFICER, BAPATLA DISTRICT, BAPATLA. 522101

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. G.V.S. MEHAR KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR FORESTS

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India with the following prayer for:

> "*.... a Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 3rd and 4th respondents herein in insisting the petitioner to surrender the agreement(MOU) dt.25-3-2025 which is in force for period of 11 years voluntarily and enter into the new agreement with them without any reason is illegal, arbitrary and contrary to the guidelines of the Government of India dt.29-10-2021 as well as circular of the 2nd respondent dt.22-3-2023 and consequently direct the 3rd and 4th respondents herein not insist the surrender of the said agreement dt.25-3-2026 forthwith.*"

2. Heard Sri G.V.S.Mehar Kumar, learned counsel for the Petitioner and Ms.K.Siva Jyothi, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Forest.

3. Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Forest, on written instructions, would submit that the Petitioner, who is the Promoter and who entered into an agreement dt.25.03.2025, has violated the terms of the said agreement.

4. Learned counsel for the Petitioner would submit that, informing such violations, no notice has been issued to the Petitioner so far. The written instructions placed before this Court do not reveal any such notice issued to the Petitioner.

5. Considering the submissions made, it is apposite to dispose of the writ petition.

6. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is disposed of. However, Respondent Authorities are at liberty to inform the Petitioner, in case of any violation of conditions of the agreement, in writing and follow due process of law. Till such period, Respondents are directed not to interfere with the business activities of the Petitioner. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

Dr.JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

Date:29.10.2026
Dinesh

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

W.P.No.31328 of 2026

Dt.29.10.2026

Dinesh