APHC010562752026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH

AT AMARAVATI [3330]

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE 3rd DAY OF NOVEMBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE TARLADA RAJASEKHAR RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 31454/2026

Between:

1. MUSULURI TULASAMMA, W/O LATE CHENCHU SUBBA RAO AGED ABOUT 76 YEARS, OCCUPATION HOUSEHOLD, R/O VINJANAMPADU VILLAGE, YADDANAPUDI MANDAL, BAPATLA DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, REVENUE DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT BUILDINGS AT VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.
2. THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER AND MAINTENANCE TRIBUNAL, UNDER THE MAINTENANCE AND WELFARE OF PARENTS AND SENIOR CITIZENS ACT, 2007, BAPATLA DIVISION, BAPATLA.
3. THE TAHSILDAR, YADDANAPUDI MANDAL, BAPATLA DISTRICT.
4. SADINENI HANUMANTA RAO, AGED 65 YEARS, R/O PLOT NO. 501, KAKATIYA RESIDENCY, 9TH LINE, PATTABIPURAM, NAYI BRAHMAN COLONY, GUNTUR TOWN AND GUNTUR DISTRICT.
5. SADINENI SHIVARAM PRASAD, S/O HANUMANTHA RAO AGED 44 YEARS, R/O PLOT NO. 501, KAKATIYA RESIDENCY, 9TH LINE, PATTABIPURAM, NAYI BRAHMAN COLONY, GUNTUR TOWN AND GUNTUR DISTRICT

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or Direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the inaction of Respondent No.2 in holding the enquiry and dispose of the PGRS Grievance No. BPT202603162451 dated 16.03.2026 (R.C. No. 114/2026-C) under the Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Act, 2007, as illegal, arbitrary and

violative of Articles 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently directing Respondent No.2 to dispose of the PGRS Grievance No. BPT202603162451 dated 16.03.2026 by a reasoned order, and to take necessary steps under Section 22 of the Act to protect the life and property of the Petitioner Under Section 23 of the Act, and to grant

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct Respondent No.3 not to carry out any mutation or change in the revenue records of the properties i.e., agricultural land admeasuring Ac.1-22 cents in Sy.No.62-3A of Vinjanampadu Village Old Sy.No.3/3A, and (b) a house site of 113.6 sq. yds. with an RCC house of 533.3 sq. ft. in Sy. No. 15/A, Door No. 2 43/A, Vinjanampadu (Assessment No. 143). And to proceed with the enquiry in R.C. No. 114/2026-C on a priority basis„ pending disposal of the main Writ Petition, and to pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. S V INDIRA

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE TARLADA RAJASEKHAR RAO

WRIT PETITION No.31454 of 2026

ORDER:

The present Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking the following relief:

> "….to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or Direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the inaction of Respondent No.2 in holding the enquiry and dispose of the PGRS Grievance No.BPT202603162451 dated 16.03.2026 (R.C.No.114/2026-C) under the Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Act, 2007, as illegal, arbitrary and violative of Articles 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently directing Respondent No.2 to dispose of the PGRS Grievance No.BPT202603162451 dated 16.03.2026 by a reasoned order, and to take necessary steps under Section 22 of the Act to protect the life and property of the Petitioner Under Section 23 of the Act, and pass such other order or orders…"

2. The petitioner, being a senior citizen, submitted PGRS Grievance No.BPT202603162451 dated 16.03.2026. The said grievance was registered as an application under Section 5 of Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Act, 2007 (hereinafter for short 'the Act') and notices were issued to the unofficial respondents. However, the respondent authorities have not yet disposed of the said application. Hence, the present Writ Petition is filed.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner requested this Court that it would suffice to direct the respondent authorities to dispose of the petitioner's application dated 16.03.2026, in accordance with law.

4. As the prayer is innocuous, this Court is of the view that keeping the writ petition pending, issuing notices to unofficial respondents and inviting counter-affidavit would serve no purpose.

5. As the prayer of the petitioner is only for expeditious disposal of the application filed under Section 5 of the Act, the present Writ Petition is disposed of at the admission stage, directing the 2nd respondent to make an endeavour for expeditious disposal of the application submitted by the petitioner, in accordance with law, preferably within a period of three (03) months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE TARLADA RAJASEKHAR RAO

Date: 03.11.2026

Note: Issue C.C. by one week
B/o.
KBN

52

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE TARLADA RAJASEKHAR RAO

WRIT PETITION No. 31454 of 2026

Date: 03.11.2026

Note: Issue C.C. by one week
B/o.
KBN