APHC010555782026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI **[3521]**
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE 11th DAY OF NOVEMBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 9277/2026

Between:

MOHAMMAD HUSSAIN, S/O. MEHABOOD SUBANI MABU SUBHANI,AGED ABOUT 39 YEARS, OCCUPATION DRIVER / HELPER,RESIDING AT D.NO. 12-59, KRISHNA NAGAR, KANURU,VIJAYAWADA- 520007, KRISHNA DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH.

...PETITIONER/ACCUSED

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH, AMARAVATI
2. THE SENIOR INTELLIGENCE OFFICER, DIRECTORATE OF REVENUE INTELLIGENCE DRI,VIJAYAWADA REGIONAL UNIT, DOOR NO. 10-56, 5TH FLOOR,ABOVE CANARA BANK, ASHOK NAGAR, BANDAR ROAD,VIJAYAWADA- 520 007, ANDHRA PRADESH.

...RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner/accused:

SK MEHABOOB NADEEM FAYAZ

Counsel for the Respondent/complainant(S):

1. SANTHI CHANDRA(Sr.STANDING COUNSEL FOR DRI AND DGGI)
2. PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

The Criminal Petition has been filed under Sections 480 and 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for brevity 'the BNSS'), seeking to enlarge the Petitioner/Accused No.3 on bail in F.No.DRI/HZU/VJRU/48/ENQ/-21(INT-15) on the file of Directorate of Revenue Intelligence, Vijayawada, registered against the Petitioner/Accused No.3 herein for the offences punishable under Sections 8(c) read with 20(b)(ii)(C), 28 and 29 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (for brevity 'the NDPS Act').

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Assistant Public Prosecutor. Perused the record.

3. As seen from the record, this is the second bail application filed by the petitioner. This Court, by order dated 22.06.2026 in Crl.P.No.4555 of 2026, dismissed the first bail application filed by the petitioner. As on the date of dismissal of the first bail application, the complaint and charge sheet had already been filed by Respondent No.2. There are no changed circumstances from the date of dismissal of the first bail application till the filing of the present second bail application. The petitioner was allegedly involved in the transportation of 248 kgs of ganja, which is not only a commercial quantity but also a colossal quantity. There are no merits in the present application, and the accusations are well-founded.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner's father and wife are facing a medical emergency, as they have sustained injuries. However, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the said ground does not constitute a medical emergency warranting the enlargement of the petitioner on bail in a case involving such grave allegations.

5. Having regard to the entire facts and circumstances of the case, the nature and gravity of the allegations levelled against the petitioner/Accused No.3, and in view of the fact that there is no change in the circumstances from the date of dismissal of the first bail application till the filing of the present (second) bail application, this Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner/Accused No.3 on bail at this juncture. Hence, this Court finds no merit in the Criminal Petition. Accordingly, the Criminal Petition is liable to be dismissed.

6. In the result, the Criminal Petition is dismissed.

As a sequel, Miscellaneous petitions, if any pending, shall stand closed.

DR. Y. LAKSHMANA RAO, J

Date: 11.11.2026
RSI

222

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 9277 of 2026

Date: 11.11.2026
RSI