APHC010555212026

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH**
**AT AMARAVATI** **[3331]**
**(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

WEDNESDAY, THE 28th DAY OF OCTOBER 2026

**PRESENT**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI**

**WRIT PETITION NO: 31358/2026**

**Between:**

1. KAMASALA SANKARACHARI, S/O. LATE NARAYANACHARI @ SIDDAIAH, AGED ABOUT 58 YEARS, OCC WEAVERS, RIO. PEDDAREDDIPALLI VILLAGE, PARIGI MANDAL, SRI SATYA SAI DISTRICT ERSTWHILE ANANTAPUR DISTRICT.

2. KAMSALA NARENDRACHARI, S/O. LATE ANJINEYACHARI, AGED ABOUT 44 YEARS, OCC WEAVERS, R/O. PEDDAREDDIPALLI VILLAGE, PARIGI MANDAL, SRI SATYA SAI DISTRICT ERSTWHILE ANANTAPUR DISTRICT.

3. KAMSALA RAVINDRACHARI, S/O. LATE ANJINEYACHARI, AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS, OCC WEAVERS, R/O. PEDDAREDDIPALLI VILLAGE, PARIGI MANDAL, SRI SATYA SAI DISTRICT ERSTWHILE ANANTAPURTISTRICT.

4. KAMSALA SREENIVASACHARI, S/O. LATE BRAMHACHARI, AGED ABOUT 60 YEARS, OCC WEAVERS, R/O. MADUREPALLI VILLAGE, CHILAMATHUR MANDAL, SRI SATYA SAI DISTRICT ERSTWHILE ANANTAPUR DISTRICT.

5. KAMSALA RAMACHARI, S/O. LATE BRAMHACHARI, AGED ABOUT 53 YEARS, OCC WEAVERS, RIO. MADUREPALLI VILLAGE, CHILAMATHUR MANDAL, SRI SATYA SAI DISTRICT ERSTWHILE ANANTAPUR DISTRICT.

6. KAMSALA SOMESEKHARACHARI, S/O. LATE BRAMHACHARI, AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS, OCC WEAVERS, RIO. MADUREPALLI VILLAGE, CHILAMATHUR MANDAL, SRI SATYA SAI DISTRICT ERSTWHILE ANANTAPUR DISTRICT.

**...PETITIONER(S)**

**AND**

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, REVENUE DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT BUILDINGS, AT VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR DISTRICT - 522.239.
2. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, SRI SATYA SAI DISTRICT, AT PUTTAPARTHI, ERSTWHILE ANANTAPUR DISTRICT - 515134.
3. THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, PENUKONDA DIVISION, PENUKONDA, SRI SATYA SAI DISTRICT ERSTWHILE ANANTAPUR DISTRICT - 515301.
4. THE TAHSILDAR, CHILAMATHUR MANDAL, SRI SATYA SAI DISTRICT, ERSTWHILE ANANTAPUR DISTRICT 515341.
5. KAMSALA HARI, S/O. LALEPALLI GANGADHAR, AGED ABOUT 33 YEARS, OCC TRACTOR DRIVER, RIO. PATHACHAMALAPALLI VILLAGE, CHILAMATHUR MANDAL, SRI SATYA SAI DISTRICT ERSTWHILE ANANTAPUR DISTRICT 515341

**...RESPONDENT(S):**

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an order, writ or direction more particularly in the nature of Writ of Mandamus Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India questioning the action of the respondents in not taking any steps to correct the erroneous entries made in favour of 5th respondent in respect of land an extent of Ac.3.60 cents in Sy.No.949-3A situated at Chilamathur Village, Chilamathur Mandal, Sri Satya Sai District Erstwhile Anantapur District by considering representation/application through PGRS Grievance No. SSTS202609211266 dated 21.09.2026 and their entitlement and succession as legal heirs of original assignee as illegal, arbitrary, beyond jurisdiction and violative of Article 14, 21 and 300-A of Constitution of India and consequently direct the official respondents to correct the revenue entries by considering right and entitlement of petitioners in respect of above property and pass

**IA NO: 1 OF 2026**

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the official respondents to correct the revenue entries by considering right and entitlement of petitioners in respect of land an extent of Ac.3.60 cents in Sy.No.949-3A situated at Chilamathur Village, Chilamathur Mandal, Sri Satya Sai District Erstwhile Anantapur District by considering representation/application through PGRS Grievance No.SSTS202609211266 dated 21.09.2026, pending disposal of above writ petition and pass

**Counsel for the Petitioner(S):**

1. P NARAHARI BABU

**Counsel for the Respondent(S):**

1. GP FOR REVENUE

**The Court made the following order:**

Heard Sri P. Narahari Babu, learned counsel for the petitioners, and Sri Chandra Sekhar, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue, for respondents 1 to 4. Notice in respect of the 5th respondent is dispensed with, as no adverse order has been passed affecting his rights or interests.

2. The grievance of the petitioners is that the respondent authorities are not considering the application made in PGRS *vide* Grievance No.SSTS202609211266 dated 21.09.2026 (Ex.P1).

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that an extent of Ac.3.60 cents in Sy.No.949-3A at Chilamathur Village and Mandal, Sri Satya Sai District, was assigned in favour of Sri Kamsala Venkataramappa *vide* DAR Dis.No.24/80, dated 30.09.1970. Sri Kamsala Venkataramappa died issueless in the year 2002 or 2003, leaving behind his four brothers and one sister. The petitioners are descendants of Sri Kamsala Venkataramappa. It is further submitted that the petitioners submitted an application *vide* Ex.P1, but the respondent authorities have not considered it.

4. Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue, on instructions, would submit that the 1st respondent issued a memo *vide* Govt. Memo REV01/457/2026-PGRS dated 24.09.2026 regarding PGRS. He further submits that PGRS shall be used only as a mechanism for receiving, examining, monitoring, and facilitating the redressal of public grievances, and shall not be treated as a substitute for statutory proceedings prescribed under any enactment, rule, or Statutory scheme. Mere filing of a grievance/representation through PGRS shall not confer any additional jurisdiction or statutory power upon the officer dealing with the grievance. He also submits that the 3rd respondent will issue appropriate endorsement in the matter, keeping in view the circular memo issued by the 1st respondent.

5. Given the facts and circumstances of the case, without expressing any opinion *vis-à-vis* the merits of the matter, the writ petition is disposed of, at the stage of admission, with the consent of learned counsel appearing on either side, directing the learned 3rd respondent to consider the application *vide* Ex.P1 and issue an appropriate endorsement, keeping in view the circular memo issued by the 1st respondent. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

**JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI**

Date: 28.10.2026
SNI

**150**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI**

**WRIT PETITION NO: 31358 OF 2026**

Date: 28.10.2026
SNI