APHC010555092026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI **[3331]**
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE 26th DAY OF OCTOBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

WRIT PETITION NO: 30901/2026

Between:

1. KORIKANA KANTHAMMA, W/O NARASIMHA MURTHY, AGED 40 YEARS,OCC AGRICULTURE RESIDENT OF DOOR NO. 1-78, PEDDA VEEDHI, KEESARA VILLAGE, BHAMINI MANDALAM, PARVATHIPURAM MANYAM DISTRICT. 532455

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE ANDHRA PRADESH, REP BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, REVENUE DEPARTMENT, SECRETRAIAT, VELAGAPUDI AMARAVATI . 522239
2. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, PARVATHIPURAM MANYAM DISTRICT, PARVATHIPURAM. 535501
3. THE MANDAL REVENUE OFFICER, , BHAMINI MANDAL, PARVATHIPURAM MANYAM DISTRICT. 532456
4. POLAKI AMARAVATHI CHODAVARAPU AMARAVATHI, AGED 29 YEARS,OCC AGRICULTURE KEESARA VILLAGE, BHAMINI MANDAL, PARVATHIPURAM MANYAM DISTRICT. 532455

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or Direction, particularly one in ti nature of a Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the respondents, to remove the unauthorised encroachment on the southern side of the petitioners house land Keesara Village Survey No. 153-1 of Keesara Village as per the representation of the petitioner dated 28-09-2026 and to pass such

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. K SATYA SAI KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following:

::ORDER::

Heard Sri K. Satya Sai Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner, and Sri Chandra Sekhar, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue for respondents 1 to 3.

2. Questioning the inaction of the respondents in considering the petitioner's representation dated 28.09.2025, the above writ petition was filed.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the representation, dated 28.09.2025, made by the petitioner, has not been considered by respondent No.3.

4. Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue submitted that, considering the petitioner's representation, the Tahsildar issued an endorsement vide Rc.No.246/2026/A, dated 16.10.2026. He produced copies of the endorsement.

5. A copy of the endorsement is handed over to the learned counsel for the petitioner, across the bar.

6. Thus, given the endorsement, the grievance of the petitioner in the present writ petition stands redressed. Hence, nothing survives in the writ petition, and no further orders are required.

7. Accordingly, the writ petition is closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

Date: 26.10.2026
IKN