APHC010554782026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI **[3331]**
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE 26th DAY OF OCTOBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

WRIT PETITION NO: 31099/2026

Between:

1. M. NARASIMHA REDDY,, S/O. RAMI REDDY, (DIED)
2. M. SIVA REDDY,, S/O. NARASIMHA REDDY, AGED ABOUT 64 YEARS, OCC. AGRICULTURIST R/O. SUNNAPUPALLIVANDLAPALLE VILLAGE, H/O. CHADUM, KADIRI TALUK, SRI SATYA SAI DISTRICT.
3. M. VEMA REDDY,, S/O. RAMI REDDY, AGED ABOUT 81 YEARS, OCC. AGRICULTURIST, R/O. SUNNAPUPALLIVANDLAPALLE VILLAGE, H/O. CHADUM, KADIRI TALUK, SRI SATYA SAI DISTRICT.
4. M. VENKATARAMANA REDDY,, S/O. RAMI REDDY,(DIED)
5. M. RAJA REDDY, S/O. M. VENKATARAMANA REDDY, AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS, OCC. AGRICULTURIST, R/O. SUNNAPUPALLIVANDLAPALLE VILLAGE, H/O. CHADUM, KADIRI TALUK, SRI SATYA SAI DISTRICT
6. M. MALL REDDY,, S/O. RAMI REDDY, AGED ABOUT 84 YEARS, OCC. AGRICULTURIST, R/O. SUNNAPUPALLIVANDLAPALLE VILLAGE, H/O CHADUM, KADIRI TALUK, SRI SATYA SAI DISTRICT

7. M. RAMI REDDY, S/O. GANGULU REDDY, AGED ABOUT 59 YEARS, OCC. AGRICULTURIST, R/O. SUNNAPUPALLIVANDLAPALLE VILLAGE, H/O. CHADUM, KADIRI TALUK, SRI SATYA SAI DISTRICT

8. C. HIMADHAR REDDY, , S/O. CHITIMI MALL REDDY, AGED ABOUT 57 YEARS, OCC. AGRICULTURIST, R/O. SUNNAPUPALLIVANDLAPALLE VILLAGE, H/O. CHADUM, KADIRI TALUK, SRI SATYA SAI DISTRICT.

9. C. BHASKAR REDDY,, S/O. MALL REDDY, AGED ABOUT 46 YEARS, OCC. AGRICULTURIST, RIO. SUNNAPUPALLIVANDLAPALLE VILLAGE, H/O. CHADUM, KADIRI TALUK, SRI SATYA SAI DISTRICT.

10. B. LAKSHMI NARASAMMA,, D/O. GOVINDA NARASIMHA REDDY, AGED ABOUT 79 YEARS, OCC. AGRICULTURIST, RIO. SUNNAPUPALLIVANDLAPALLE VILLAGE, H/O. CHADUM, KADIRI TALUK, SRI SATYA SAI DISTRICT.

11. Y. SANKARAMMA,, W/O. BYYA REDDY, AGED ABOUT 69 YEARS, OCC.AGRICULTURIST, RIO. SUNNAPUPALLIVANDLAPALLE VILLAGE, H/O. CHADUM, KADIRI TALUK, SRI SATYA SAI DISTRICT.

12. S. PRABHAVATHI,, W/O. SIVARAMI REDDY, AGED ABOUT 59 YEARS, OCC. AGRICULTURIST, R/O. SUNNAPUPALLIVANDLAPALLE VILLAGE, H/O. CHADUM, KADIRI TALUK, SRI SATYA SAI DISTRICT.

13. C. JANARDHAN REDDY,, S/O. SIVA REDDY, AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS, OCC. AGRICULTURIST, RIO. SUNNAPUPALLIVANDLAPALLE VILLAGE, H/O. CHADUM, KADIRI TALUK, SRI SATYA SAI DISTRICT.

14. K. GOWRAMMA,, W/O. RAMACHANDRA REDDY, AGED ABOUT 59 YEARS, OCC. AGRICULTURIST, RIO. SUNNAPUPALLIVANDLAPALLE VILLAGE, H/O. CHADUM, KADIRI TALUK, SRI SATYA SAI DISTRICT.

15. P. GANGULAMMA,, W/O. RAJA REDDY, AGED ABOUT 66 YEARS, OCC. AGRICULTURIST RIO. SUNNAPUPALLIVANDLAPALLE VILLAGE, H/O. CHADUM, KADIRI TALUK, SRI SATYA SAI DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, REVENUE DEPARTMENT,SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATI, A.P. 522 238.

2. THE COMMISSIONER APPEALS, OFFICE OF THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER OF LAND ADMINISTRATION, APIIC BUILDINGS, MANGALAGIRI, GUNTUR DISTRICT 522 503

3. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, ANNAMAYYA DISTRICT, MADANAPALLI, - 517 325.

4. THE TAHSILDAR, MOLAKALACHERUVU MANDAL, ANNAMAYYA DISTRICT.- 517 390

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of a Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the 2ndrespondent in not taking up and disposing of Revision Petition No. P1/1037/2005, in pursuance of the orders passed by this Honble Court in W.P.No. 23604 of 2009 dated 19.12.2014 and W.P.No. 75 of 2022 dated 05.01.2022, as illegal, arbitrary, unreasonable and contrary to the directions of the Honble Court and violation of principles of natural justice and

consequently direct the 2ndrespondent to take up the said revision petition, afford the petitioners a reasonable opportunity of hearing, consider the material available on record and dispose of the same by a reasoned order on merits, in accordance with law, within a time frame to be fixed by this Honble Court,

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased direct the 2nd respondent to dispose of the revision petition No.P1/1037/2005 as expeditiously as possible

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1. K NARSI REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following order:

Heard Sri K. Narsi Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioners and Sri Divya Theja, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue, for respondents 1 to 4.

2. The grievance of the petitioners is that respondent No.2 failed to dispose of the revision petition No.P1/1037/2005 in pursuance of the orders dated 19.12.2014 and 05.01.2022 in W.P.Nos.23604 of 2009 and 75 of 2022, respectively.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners earlier filed W.P.No.23604 of 2009, i.e. a Writ of Certiorari, to call for the record pertaining to the proceedings, dated 01.08.2009, in revision petition No.P1/1037/2005, whereby the authority dismissed the revision petition. The

said writ petition was allowed on 19.12.2014, setting aside the order dated 01.08.2009 and remanding the matter to the Commissioner of Appeals, respondent No.2 herein, for fresh consideration. However, the revision has not been disposed of till date.

4. Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue, on instructions, would submit that the authority will dispose of the revision as expeditiously as possible, by giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners and other stakeholders.

5. Given the facts and circumstances of the case, keeping the writ petition pending and inviting counter will not serve any purpose. Hence, the writ petition is disposed of at the admission stage with the consent of learned counsel on either side, directing the learned respondent No.2 to dispose of the revision as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of four (04) months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, by affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners as well as other stakeholders, by following due procedure. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

Date: 26.10.2026
IKN