APHC010546592026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI **[3331]**
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE 26th DAY OF OCTOBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

WRIT PETITION NO: 30906/2026

Between:

1. K. CHOKKAMMA, ,W/O. K. RAMAMOORTHY, OCC HOUSEWIFE, AGED ABOUT 51 YEARS, R/O. D.NO.4-69-1, NAMBAKAM HNV, D. AGRAMA POST, NAMBAKAM PANCHAYAT, NAGARI -517591, CHITTOOR DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REPRESENTED BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY REVENUE DEPARTMENT, A.P. SECRETARIAT, AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR DISTRICT-522238.
2. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, CHITTOOR DISTRICT AT CHITTOOR-517002
3. THE JOINT COLLECTOR, CHITTOOR DISTRICT AT O/O DISTRICT COLLECTOR, CHITTOOR-517002
4. THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, NAGARI REVENUE DIVISION, CHITTOOR DISTRICT-517590
5. THE TAHSILDAR, VIJAYAPURAM MANDAL, CHITTOOR DISTRICT-517586
6. T GOVINDAYYA, S/O. LATE KRISHNAIAH, AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS, OCC AGRICULTURIST, R/O. PANNUR VILLAGE, VIJAYAPURAM MANDAL, CHITTOOR DISTRICT-517586

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the Respondents, more particularly the Respondent No. 5, in interfering with the petitioner's peaceful possession and enjoyment of the subject property admeasuring an extent of Ac. 0.77 cents in Sy.No.290/8 and Ac.0.30 cents in Sy.No.290/9, totalling Ac.1.07 cents, of Pannur Revenue Village, Vijayapuram Mandal, Chittoor District, pending disposal of the Revision Petition bearing Roc.No. 14/206/2026 filed by the petitioner before the Respondent No. 3 against the order of the Respondent No.4 in Roc.No.B/PGRS/167/2026 dated 25.06.2026, as illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional, contrary to the principles of natural justice besides being violative of articles 14, 21 and 300(A) of the constitution of India and consequently direct the Respondents, more particularly the Respondent No.5, not to interfere with the petitioner's peaceful possession and enjoyment of the subject property pending disposal of the said Revision Petition

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased may be pleased to direct the Respondent No.5 not to interfere into the petitioner's peaceful possession and enjoyment of the property admeasuring an extent of Ac.0.77 cents in Sy.No.290/8 and Ac.0.30 cents in Sy.No.290/9, totalling Ac.1.07 cents, of Pannur Revenue Village, Vijayapuram Mandal, Chittoor District pending disposal of the above writ petition and pass such

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. P JAGADISH CHANDRA PRASAD

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following order:

Heard Sri Kanderi Chethan, learned counsel for the petitioner, and Sri Chandra Sekhar, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue for respondents 1 to 5. Notice in respect of the 6th respondent is dispensed with, as no adverse order has been passed affecting his rights or interests.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that, aggrieved by the proceedings of the 4th respondent *vide* Roc.B/PGRS/167/2026 dated 25.06.2026 (Ex.P1), the petitioner filed a statutory revision before the 3rd respondent *vide* Roc.No.14/2026/2026 (Ex.P2). Pending disposal of the revision, the 5th respondent is attempting to dispossess the petitioner of Ac.0.77 cents in Sy.No.290/8 and Ac.0.30 cents in Sy.No.290/9 of Pannur Revenue Village, Vijayapuram Mandal, Chittoor District.

3. Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue, on instructions of the 5th respondent, does not dispute the filing of the revision by the petitioner before the 3rd respondent *vide* Ex.P2. He further submits that the 5th respondent will abide by the orders passed by the competent authority in the said revision. The 5th respondent is not interfering with the aforementioned land.

4. Given the facts and circumstances of the case, inviting a counter and keeping the writ petition pending may not serve any purpose, and hence, the writ petition is disposed of, at the stage of admission, with the consent of learned counsel appearing on either side, directing the 5th respondent not to interfere with the aforementioned land without following due procedure, pending disposal of the revision before the 3rd respondent. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, any pending miscellaneous petitions shall stand closed.

JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

Date: 26.10.2026
SNI

120

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

WRIT PETITION NO: 30906 OF 2026

Date: 26.10.2026
SNI