APHC010544702024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE 2nd DAY OF NOVEMBER 2026
PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM

WRIT PETITION NO: 28374 OF 2024

Between:

1. CHINTAGUNTA SRINIVASULU, S/o Late Ramanaiah, aged about 53 years, Advocate by profession, O/o Advocates Chambers, Shop No.1, Opp. Lassi Centre, Gandi Bomma, Trunk Road, Nellore City, SPSR Nellore District (A.P) - 524003

...Petitioner

AND

1. THE STATE OF AP, through its Principal Secretary, Home Department, AP Secretariat, Velagapudi 522503
2. The Director General of Police, for the State of Andhra Pradesh Off. Beside Courts, Gopal Reddy Road, Suryaraopet, Vijayawada 520002
3. Superintendent of Police, SPSR Nellore District
4. Seetharamapuram Police Station, through its SHO, Seetharamapuram (V) and (M), SPSR Nellore District 524310
5. Mr K Kishore Babu, , the erstwhile Sub Inspector of Police, Seetharamapuram P.S., Seetharamapuram (V) and (M), presently working at Allur P.S., Allur (V) and (M), SPSR Nellore District 524310

...Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue the Writ of mandamus or) any appropriate Writ, declaring the acts of Respondent Nos. 4/5, in not registering the FIR against the complaints Dt., 04.09.2023 and Dt., 26.10.2023, lodged by the Petitioner, impugning the Judicial Orders passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate of 1st Class at Udayagiri, as if he was registered in Cr.No.8/2023 on the file of Respondent

No.4, against the Writ Petitioner, impugning the same Judicial Orders passed in 0.S.No.512021, by the Hon'ble Senior Civil Judge at Atmakuru, as against the principles of RULE OF LAW besides being violative of Article. 14, 19 and 2 of the Constitution of India, and consequently to direct the Respondent Nos. 2 to 4 to issue a mandatory notice to the Petitioner u/s 157 (2) of CrPC in respeLt of his complaints Dt., 04.09.2023 and Dt., 26.10.2023 lodged before to pursue his alternative remedies in accordance with the Law, and to grant such other reliefs which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in to these circumstances of the case in the interest of Justice

IA NO: 1 OF 2024

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the Respondent Nos. 2 to 4 to issue a mandatory notice to me u/s 157 (2) of CrPC in respect of his complaints Dt., 04.09.2023 and Dt., 26.10.2023 lodged before R4, to pursue his alternative remedies in accordance with the Law, and to grant such other reliefs which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in to these circumstances of the case in the interest of justice

Counsel for the Petitioner: RAGHUNATH Y
Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR HOME

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM

WRIT PETITION NO: 28374 of 2024

The Court made the following Order:

Instant Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following relief:

> *"to issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of MANDAMUS declaring the acts of Respondent Nos.4/5, in not registering the FIR against the complaints Dt., 04.09.2023 and Dt.26.10.2023, lodged by the Petitioner, impugning the Judicial Orders passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate of 1st Class at Udayagiri, as if he was registered in Cr.No.8 of 2023 on the file of Respondent No.4, against the Writ Petitioner, impugning the same Judicial Orders passed in 0.S.No.512021, by the Hon'ble Senior Civil Judge at Atmakuru, as against the principles of RULE OF LAW besides being violative of Article. 14, 19 and 2 of the Constitution of India, and consequently to direct the Respondent Nos. 2 to 4 to issue a mandatory notice to the Petitioner u/s 157 (2) of CrPC in respect of his complaints Dt., 04.09.2023 and Dt., 26.10.2023 lodged before to pursue his alternative remedies in accordance with the Law, and to pass".*

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home appearing on behalf of Respondent/State and perused the material placed available on record.

3. Conspectus of facts in the present Writ Petition is that, despite the petitioner's complaint to the police personnel, the respondent police are not

acting upon the same by registering an FIR, thereby lodging criminal proceedings.

4. Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home, basing on the written instructions of the Respondent/Police, submits that preliminary enquiry of the police reveals that the petitioner's complaint does not disclose commission of any cognizable offence. As such, Writ Petition itself is not maintainable.

5. Now, coming to the central issue in the *lis,* despite the petitioner's complaint, the police are not registering an FIR; the aggrieved party directly initiating proceedings before the Hon'ble High Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India or Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 corresponding to Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.

6. In this juncture, it is appropriate to note that the issue involved in the present *lis*, is squarely covered by the Order of this Court dated 23.07.2026 in WP No.18425 of 2026, wherein this Court appreciated the facts and law by taking into consideration of the dictums laid down by the Apex Court including latest expression held in ***Sujal Vishwas Attavar and another vs. State of Maharashtra and others***[1], wherein it was held as under:

> *"7. Keeping in view the above exposition of law, we find that the extraordinary jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India ought not to have been invoked when alternative equally efficacious statutory remedies were available. If a person has a grievance that his*

[1] 2026 SCC OnLine SC 798

FIR has not been registered by the police, or having been registered, proper investigation is not being conducted, then the remedy does not ordinarily lie in invoking the writ jurisdiction in the first instance, but in seeking recourse to the statutory framework, unless of course the urgency of the circumstances warrant otherwise.

8. The Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023 (erstwhile Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973) provides a structured sequential mechanism for initiating criminal prosecution. The statutory framework contemplates that information relating to the commission of a cognizable offence is first placed before the officer-in-charge of the police station and an FIR is registered under Section 173(1) BNSS. In the event of refusal to register the FIR, recourse lies before the jurisdictional Superintendent of Police under Section 173(4) BNSS and, thereafter, before the Magistrate, under Section 175(3) BNSS.

9. xxxx.

10. xxxx.

11. The High Court is not bound to entertain a writ petition merely because a case of alleged inaction or negligence is made out against a statutory authority. Ordinarily, where a statute provides a complete and efficacious remedy, the same must be exhausted before invoking constitutional jurisdiction [See: Sakiri Vasu (supra) and Sudhir Bhaskarrao Tambe (supra)]. In the present facts, the complainant Company has not exhausted the sequential statutory remedies available under BNSS. There is, therefore, no foundation to invoke the extraordinary jurisdiction of the High Court for the reason that efficacious and efficient alternative remedies exists. Hence, at this stage, we find the instant writ petition to be premature, and, therefore, not fit to be entertained."

7. Accordingly, by applying the above ratio to the present case too, this Writ Petition is disposed of as under:

i) Liberty is granted to the petitioner to approach the learned jurisdictional Magistrate by filing appropriate complaint under Section 175(3) and 210 read with 223 of BNSS, 2023.

ii) This Court has not expressed any opinion on merits and whether or not the complaint discloses criminal offences.

iii) Equally, it will be open to all the stakeholders to avail remedies in terms of the statutory realm."

There shall be no order as to costs.

8. Registry is directed to append a copy of the Order of this Court dated 23.07.2026 in WP No.18425 of 2026 along with the instant order.

Interlocutory applications, if any, pending shall stand closed.

JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM

Date: 02.11.2026
KRK

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM

WRIT PETITION NO: 28374 of 2024

Date: 02.11.2026

KRK