APHC010541232026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI **[3331]**
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE 4th DAY OF NOVEMBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

WRIT PETITION NO: 29670/2026

Between:

1. VENKATESH KRISHNA MURTHY, S/O. N.R. VENKATESH, HINDU, MALE, AGED 49 YEARS, OCC BUSINESS, RIO. NANJAMPETA VILLAGE, SIVARAMPURANN POST, SANTHIPURANN MANDAL, KUPPAM DISTRICT - 517423

2. A.SARAVANA, S/O. LATE. MD.ANNAMALAI HINDU, AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS, MALE, OCC BUSINESS, RIO. SALARLAPALLIMETTA VILLAGE, PEDDABANGARU NATHANN POST, KUPPAM MANDAL, CHITTOOR DISTRICT - 517425

3. NANDESH KUMAR RAJA, S/O. RAJA, HINDU, MALE, AGED 32 YEARS, OCC BUSINESS, RIO. VENGATESA VATTAM VILLAGE, NAYANA CHERUVU, TIRUPATTRU TALUK AND DISTRICT, TAMIL NADU - 635601

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, REVENUE DEPARTMENT, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR DISTRICT - 522 237.

2. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, CHITTOOR DISTRICT, CHITTOOR-517001

3. THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, KUPPAM, CHITTOOR DISTRICT-517001

4. THE TAHSILDAR, KUPPAM MANDAL REVENUE OFFICE, KUPPAM, CHITTOOR DISTRICT-517001

5. V SANJAY, S/O. VIJAYA RAGHAVULU, AGED ABOUT 25 YEARS, MALE, OCC BUSINESS, RIO. D.NO.54, BENSON CROSS ROAD, 6TH GATE, BESON TOWN AND POST, BENGALURU NORTH, KARNATAKA STATE-560064

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or a direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, aggrieved by the action of the official respondent Nos.2 to 4 in proposed to altering the revenue records in webland pertaining to the land in an extent of Ac.12.208 cents in Sy.No.77 of Mettakadiriganur Village, Kuppam Mandal, Chittoor District inspite of pendency of the civil suit in 0.S.No.22 of 2023 on the file of the Hon'ble Additional Civil Judge (Junior Division), Kuppam, Writ Petition in W.P.No.33501 of 2023 on the file of the Hon'ble Court and also without considering our possession and enjoyment over the said property pursuant to the agreement of sale deeds in our favour as illegal, irregular, arbitrary and violation of the provisions of the Andhra Pradesh Rights in Land and Pattadar Pass Books Act, 1971 and Rules framed there under and also offends Articles 14, 21 and 300-A of Constitution of India and consequently direct the official respondents not to alter and not to mutate the revenue records in favour of anybody pertaining to the land to an extent of Ac.12.208 cents in Sy.No.77 of Mettakadiriganur Village, Kuppam Mandal, Chittor District and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased pleased to direct the official respondents not to alter and not to mutate the revenue records in favour of anybody pertaining to the land to an extent of Ac.12.208 cents in Sy.No.77 of Mettakadiriganur Village, Kuppam Mandal, Chittoor District, pending disposal of the main writ petition and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1. MANGENA SREE RAMA RAO

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following order:

Heard Mangena Sree Rama Rao, learned counsel for the petitioners and Sri Chandra Sekhar, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue, for respondents 1 to 4. Notice to respondent No. 5 is dispensed with, as no adverse order affecting his right or interest has been passed.

2. The grievance of the petitioner is that respondents 2 to 4 are attempting to alter the revenue records in respect of land to an extent of Ac.12.208 cents in Survey No.77, Mettakadiriganur Village, Kuppam Mandal, Chittoor District, despite pendency of suit O.S.No.22 of 2023 on the file of the learned Additional Civil Judge (Junior Division), Kuppam and W.P.No.33501 of 2023.

3. The writ petition is listed on 02.11.2026 and learned Assistant Government Pleader produced a copy of the proceedings issued by respondent No.3 vide Roc.D/391/2024 (B4/3183/2018), dated 26.06.2025.

4. A copy of the said proceedings was handed over to the learned counsel for the petitioner across the bar. At the request of learned counsel for the petitioner, the writ petition is listed today.

5. Today, when the matter is taken up, learned counsel for the petitioner sought leave of the Court to challenge the proceedings referred to supra before an appropriate forum.

6. Given the facts and circumstances of the case and the proceedings issued by respondent No.3, without touching the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of at the admission stage with the consent of learned counsel on either side, giving liberty to the petitioner to challenge the proceedings issued by respondent No.3 vide Roc.D/391/2024 (B4/3183/2018), dated 26.06.2025, if aggrieved, before the appropriate forum. No costs.

As a sequel, pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

Date: 04.11.2026
IKN