APHC010536422022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI **[3327]**
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE 2nd DAY OF NOVEMBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K SREENIVASA REDDY

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 4074/2022

Between:

1. THE WATER USTHE WATER USERS ASSOCIATIONERS ASSOCIATION, KURMAPURAM REPRESENTED BY ITS PRESIDENT JANGA RAMA KRISHNA RAM, S/O. SUBBANNA, AGED 78 YEARS, OCC CONTRACTOR, R/O. D. NO. 2-24, KURMAPURAM VILLAGE, RAYAVARAM MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

A N D

1. SRI S S RAWAT I A S, PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE AND PLANNING, SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.
2. SRI SESHIBHUSHAN KUMAR I A S, SPECIAL CHIEF SECRETARY, WATER RESOURCES, IRRIGATION AND CAD DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.
3. SRI K NARASIMHA MURTHY, SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT, IRRIGATION CIRCLE, DOWLESWARAM, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
4. SRI KASI VISWESWARA RAO, EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT, GODAVARI EASTERN DIVISION DOWLESWARAM, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.

...CONTEMNOR(S):

Petition under Sections 10 to 12 of Contempt of Courts Act 1971 praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit file herein the High Court may be pleased to punish the respondents under Sections 10 to 12 of Contempt of Court Act, for their wilful disobedience of the order of this Hon'ble Court dated 13.06.2022 in LA. No. 1 of 2021 in W.P. No. 25387 of 2021 and to pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. RAMESH BABU TALLURI

Counsel for the Contemnor(S):

1. S NISCHALA REDDY
2. S SIVA KUMARI

The Court made the following:

O R D E R

The Contempt Case has been filed under Sections 10 to 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 seeking the Court to punish the respondents for willfully and deliberately violating the Order, dated 13.06.2022 passed in I.A.No.1 of 2021 in W.P.No.25387 of 2021.

2. Today, when the matter has come up before this Court, learned counsel for the respondents, on written instructions of 4th respondent, submits that the order passed by this Court has been complied with, and as such, no further orders are required in the present case. Learned counsel for the petitioner concurs with the said submission made by the learned counsel for the respondents.

3. Recording the said submission, the Contempt Case is closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

4. As a sequel thereto, the miscellaneous applications, if any, pending in this Contempt Case, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE K. SREENIVASA REDDY

2nd November, 2026.

DNB/DRNR