APHC010534182026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI **[3331]**
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE 26th DAY OF OCTOBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

WRIT PETITION NO: 30669/2026

Between:

1. BOYA.KRISHNAMMA, W/O BOYA. RAMANJI, AGE 30 YEARS, OCCUPATION AGRICULTURE, R/O 1/104, RAMAGIRI VILLAGE, RAMAGIRI MANDAL, SRI SATHYA SAI DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH .

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, REVENUE DEPARTMENT SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.
2. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, SRI SATHYA SAI DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH.
3. THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, DHARMAVARAM REVENUE DIVISION, SRI SATHYA SAI DISTRICT, A.P..
4. THE TAHSILDAR, RAMAGIRI MANDAL, SRI SATHYA SAI DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH.
5. KUNCHE VISAWANATH, S/O AKKULAPPA , AGE 40 YEARS, OCCUPATION BUSINESS, R/O RAMAGIRI VILLAGE, RAMAGIRI MANDAL, SRI SATHYA SAI DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or Direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the proceedings of the 4th Respondent in Rc.No.269/6/2026, dated 02.09.2026 (the impugned order), whereby, cancelled the Petitioners Pattadar entry over the subject land comprised in Survey No.650-1, admeasuring Ac.3.94 cents forming part of Khata No. 2257, situated at Ramagiri Village, Ramagiri Mandal, Sri Sathya

Sai District and declared it to be Government land, directing correction of the online (Webland) revenue records unilaterally as being wholly illegal, arbitrary, without jurisdiction and violative of Articles 14 and 300-A of the Constitution of India and consequently set aside the same by declaring the Petitioner is the lawful hereditary pattadar and person in possession of the agricultural land comprised in Survey No.650-1, Khata No. 2257, admeasuring Ac.4.90 cents, situated at Ramagiri Village, Ramagiri Mandal, Sri Sathya Sai District, duly restoring/retaining the Record of Rights, Adangal and Pattadar Pass Book entries of the Petitioner in respect of the said land without any alteration pursuant to the impugned order, and to grant

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant stay all further/consequential proceedings pursuant to the impugned order bearing Rc.No.269/6/2026, dated 02.09.2026 including any correction of online (Webland) revenue records and any proceedings pursuant to the Form-31 notice dated 15.09.2026, in respect of the subject land comprised in Survey No.650-1, admeasuring Ac.3.94 cents forming part of Khata No. 2257, situated at Ramagiri Village, Ramagiri Mandal, Sri Sathya Sai District, pending disposal of the main Writ Petition, and to pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. V BABY RANI

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following order:

Heard Smt. V. Baby Rani, learned counsel for the petitioner; and Sri Rama Rao, learned Government Pleader for Revenue for respondents 1 to 4. Notice in respect of the 5th respondent is dispensed with, as no adverse order has been passed affecting his rights or interests.

2. Assailing the proceedings issued by the 4th respondent *vide* Rc.No.269/B/2026, dated 02.09.2026 (Ex.P1), the above writ petition is filed.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner, a permanent resident of Sri Sathya Sai District, has been in possession and enjoyment of land of an extent of Ac.3.94 cents in Sy.No.650-1, Khata No.2257, Ramagiri Village and Mandal, Sri Sathya Sai District. The then

Tahsildar has updated the Record of Rights and issued Pattadar Passbooks. The copies of the Record of Rights and Pattadar Passbooks are filed at Ex.P4.

4. Be that as it may, by the impugned proceedings *vide* Ex.P1, the Authorities intend to cancel the Pattadar Passbooks and Record of Rights in favour of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner would also submit that no opportunity of hearing was afforded to the petitioner.

5. Learned Government Pleader for Revenue, on instructions of the 4th respondent, would submit that a notice was issued to the petitioner. The petitioner's name was updated in the Record of Rights without any title, and proper procedure was not followed. He would further submit that the impugned proceedings were issued in the name of the 4th respondent; however, the Deputy Tahsildar issued them. The learned Government Pleader would further submit that the impugned proceedings have been withdrawn.

6. The instructions of the learned Government Pleader made part of the record.

7. Since the impugned proceedings *vide* Ex.P1 have been withdrawn, no further adjudication is needed in this Writ Petition. Accordingly, the writ petition is closed.

8. However, this order will not preclude the authorities from proceeding further in accordance with law. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

Date: 26.10.2026
SNI

113

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

WRIT PETITION NO: 30669 OF 2026

Date: 26.10.2026
SNI