APHC010533612026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI [3460]
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE 8th DAY OF OCTOBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE NYAPATHY VIJAY

WRIT PETITION NO: 29084/2026

Between:

S. Haritha **...PETITIONER**

AND

The State Of A P and Others **...RESPONDENT(S)**

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. KASA JAGANMOHAN REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR SERVICES I
2. GP FOR SERVICES II

The Court made the following:

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE NYAPATHY VIJAY

WRIT PETITION NO: 29084 of 2026

ORDER:

The present writ petition is filed questioning the inaction of the respondents in providing employment to the Petitioner in terms of G.O.Ms.No.98 Irrigation (PROJ. WING) Department dated 15.04.1986 as illegal and arbitrary.

2. The house and agricultural lands of the family members of the Petitioner were acquired for Somasila Project and Awards were passed. As per G.O.Ms.No.98 dated 15.04.1986, employment was to be offered to the members of the displaced families or their dependents. The petitioner, being the dependent of the original landowners, applied for employment in terms of the said G.O. However, her case was not considered for employment. Hence, the present writ petition.

3. Learned counsel for the Petitioner relies on the judgment of this Court in W.P.No.40372 of 2018 and batch and W.P.No.3445 of 2020, wherein employment was directed to be offered to the dependents of the landowners therein, and seeks similar relief in the present cases. Learned counsel further submits that the land acquisition took place long back and despite the lapse of several years, employment has still not been provided.

4. Learned Assistant Government Pleader submits that their cases will be considered and necessary action will be initiated for providing employment in terms of G.O.Ms.No.98 dated 15.04.1986, as per the rules in force.

5. Considering the fact that the lands of the family members of the Petitioner were acquired long back, the delay in providing employment cannot be justified; more so, as the employment was to be treated as part of the promised compensation.

6. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is disposed of directing the respondents to consider the case of the Petitioner for employment on par with similarly placed persons, as expeditiously as possible. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

_____

NYAPATHY VIJAY, J

Date: 08.10.2026

eha

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE NYAPATHY VIJAY

WRIT PETITION NO: 29084 of 2026

Dated: 08.10.2026

eha