APHC010521432026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI **[3331]**
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE 7th DAY OF OCTOBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO: 2801/2026

Between:

1. M BHASKAR ALIAS BATHALA BHASKAR, S/O LATE K.P. MUNUSWAMI NAIDU AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS, OCC BUSINESS,R/O DOOR N0.47-A, THENDRAL STREET, KUMARAN NAGAR EXTENSION,PADI, CHENNAI - 600 050.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. YELLA SANTHARAMIREDDY, S/O KALYANRAMIREDDY, AGED ABOUT 58 YEARS,R/O VENUGOPAL NAGAR, BAPUJI COLONY, SULLURPET, TIRUPATI DISTRICT.
2. YELLA INDIRAMMA, W/O LATE KALYANRAMIREDDY, AGED ABOUT 79 YEARSR/O VENUGOPAL NAGAR, BAPUJI COLONY, SULLURPET, TIRUPATI DISTRICT.
3. ANNAPALLI VANI, W/O NARENDRAKUMARREDDY, AGED ABOUT 61 YEARS,R/O VARSHA, BESIDE BASAVAGALLA, BASAVESWARA NAGAR, BALLARI, KARNATAKA.
4. SRI KRISHNA EDUCATION SOCIETY, REP. BY ITS PRESIDENT GILAKA BRAHMAIAH,FLAT NO.46, PLOT NO.106, SUSEELA SADAN, KALYAN NAGAR, HYDERABAD.
5. THE CHAIRMAN, DIRECTOR OF HIGHER EDUCATION AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR DISTRICT. (RESPONDENT NO.5 WAS SET EX PARTE IN THE SUIT AND IS NOT A NECESSARY PARTY TO THIS CIVIL REVISION PETITION)

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India,praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed herein,the High Court may be pleased toThe Petitioner above-named begs to present this Memorandum of Civil Revision Petition against the inaction of the learned VII Additional District Judge, Gudur, in not numbering and deciding the unnumbered LA. filed on 25.09.2026 in O.S.No.14 of 2018 under Order XVIII Rule 17 read with Section 151 CPC seeking recall of D.W.1 for cross-examination, and in posting the suit for arguments on 30.09.2026 while the said l.A. is pending, and for the following among other

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to dispense with the filing of the certified copies of the docket proceedings dated 20.07.2026, 06.08.2026, 14.08.2026, 03.09.2026, 23.09.2026, 25.09.2026 and 28.09.2026 in O.S.No.14 of 2018 on the file of the VII Additional District Judge, Gudur in the interest of justice,

IA NO: 2 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay all further proceedings in the said suit, pending disposal of the present Civil Revision Petition, in the interest of justice

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. KOMARA PRUDHVI RAJ

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GAJJALA MALLIKARJUNA REDDY

The Court made the following:

ORDER

Heard Sri Komara Prudhvi Raj, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri Y.Nagi Reddy, learned counsel representing Sri Gajjala Malikarjuna Reddy, learned counsel for the respondents 1 to 3.

2. The above revision is filed complaining of inaction of the trial Court in numbering and deciding an unnumbered petition filed under Order XVIII Rule 17 r/w 151 CPC vide CF No.4161 of 2026 dated 25.09.2026 and another unnumbered petition filed under Section 151 CPC vide CF No.4160 of 2026 dated 25.09.2026.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner/plaintiff filed I.As. on 25.09.2026 referred to supra, in suit O.S.No.14 of 2018 on the file of VII Additional District Judge, Gudur. The said I.As were returned with certain objections. Thereafter, the said I.As were re-submitted on 03.10.2026 after complying with objections. However, the trial Court neither numbered nor passed a judicial order rejecting the same.

4. Sri Y.Nagi Reddy, learned counsel representing Sri Gajjala Malikarjuna Reddy, learned counsel for the respondents 1 to 3, would submit that initially, by the date of filing of the revision, the petitioner did not comply with the objections and later, the objections were complied with.

5. Thus, as seen from the material available on record, the petitioner complied with the objections *vis-à-vis* the interlocutory applications. Since the objections were complied with and the I.As were re-submitted, without touching the merits of the matter, the Civil Revision Petition is disposed of, directing the learned VII Additional District Judge, Gudur to number the I.As, if they are otherwise in order, and to pass appropriate orders according to law, as expeditiously as possible. No order as to costs.

As a sequel, all the pending miscellaneous applications shall stand closed.

_____

JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

PVD